

3-19-25 Saint Joseph, pray for us!

Friend,

Praise be Jesus, Mary, and St. Joseph now and forever. Thank you for taking the time to explain why you do not think the Catholic Church teaches that we must believe the Earth is the center of the universe. Although you shared very important information that needs to be deeply considered, it still does not solve many problems regarding geocentrism. I do not wish to be in any way opposed to any teaching of the Catholic Church, and that is the exact, main reason why I believe the Earth is the center of the universe, and that the Catholic Church does teach that the Earth is the center of the universe. I now request your assistance in understanding this situation by answering the questions I ask in this letter. I think it is through ignorance that many are mistaken regarding geocentrism versus heliocentrism. Let no one allow their ignorance to become sinful because of negligence, refusal to study what the other side actually teaches, or not wanting to know what we are bound to know.

We learn from St. Thomas in the *Summa*, Q. 76, Art. 2:

“Wherefore through negligence, ignorance of what one is bound to know is a sin.”

Pope Benedict XV teaches us in *Fausto Appetente Die*:

Nothing is such a hindrance to eternal salvation as the ignorance of the truth and perversity of doctrine.

Pope Benedict XV teaches us in *Humani Generis Redemptionem*:

“Ignorance is the mother of all errors,” as the Fourth Lateran Council so truthfully observes.

Pope Leo XIII teaches us in *Officio Sanctissimo*:

It is necessary that Christian wisdom, which abounds in a wonderful light, should shine before the eyes of all, so that the darkness of ignorance, which is the greatest enemy to religion, having been dispelled, the truth may shine forth far and wide, and happily reign.

Let us consult the Teaching Magisterium. *Divinum Illud Munus* - Pope Leo XIII – 1897.

Again, since He is the Spirit of Truth, whosoever faileth by weakness or ignorance may perhaps have some excuse before Almighty God; but he who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost.

In the *Summa*, Q. 14 Art. 2 Pt. II-II, St. Thomas Aquinas lists and distinguishes the six kinds of sins against the Holy Ghost, viz., despair, presumption, impenitence, obstinacy, resisting the known truth, and envy of our brother’s spiritual good.

The Douay Catechism of 1649

CHAP. XIX. The Sins against the Holy Ghost Expounded

Q. 915. How many are the sins against the Holy Ghost?

A. Six: despair of salvation, presumption of God’s mercy, to impugn the known truth, envy at another’s spiritual good, obstinacy in sin, and final impenitence.

Q. 918. What is it to impugn the known truth?

A. To argue obstinately against known points of faith, or to prevent the way of our Lord by forging lies and slander, as Heretics do.

Let us again consult the Teaching Magisterium. *The Church Speaks to the Modern World* - Pope Leo XIII:

“There can be no doubt that truth alone should imbue the minds of men, therefore nothing but the truth should be taught! What the Church cannot possibly do is to declare satisfactory a school regime based upon the principle that anybody should be free to teach what it pleases him to call truth, even though it may be error. A widely spread conviction may happen to be false, and we all know that it is so, only we do not like to think that this applies to the opinions of our own times. If we subject any one of these to a careful criticism, we shall find that our strongest convictions often rest upon weak arguments and flimsy evidence. Why should not the contrary of what we were taking for granted also be given careful consideration?”

Stop! Think! Reflect! “Why should not the contrary of what we were taking for granted also be given careful consideration?”

It is extremely important that the contrary be carefully considered because the contrary to what we have been told is the true teaching of the Infallible Church! Also, because “He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost.”

St. Thomas tells us in Q. 94 of the *Supplement* under the first article:

“*I answer that, now everything is known the more for being compared with its contrary, because when contraries are placed beside one another they become more conspicuous.*”

Let us make *contraries more conspicuous* by comparing the contrary teachings: I do not understand how the Earth can be the center of the universe and at the same time be spinning on its axis once a day and orbiting the Sun once a year.

Remember, “There can be no doubt that truth alone should imbue the minds of men, therefore nothing but the truth should be taught.” Again: “He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost.” Consequently, it is either geocentrism or heliocentrism, but it cannot be both.

{1} Do you agree that because geocentrism and heliocentrism are contrary to one another only one can be the known truth?

{2} Do you agree that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly that “He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost” and resisting the known truth, or impugning the known truth is one of the sins against the Holy Ghost?

{3} Do you agree that because the *known truth* is either geocentrism or heliocentrism, he who resists the one that is the truth through malice and turns away from it sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost?

Let us consult the infallible teaching Magisterium in *Denzinger* # 738: Lateran Council V, 1513:

“And since truth never contradicts truth, we declare every assertion contrary to the truth of illumined faith to be altogether false; and, that it may not be permitted to dogmatize otherwise, we strictly forbid it, and we decree that all who adhere to errors of this kind are to be shunned and to be punished as detestable and abominable infidels who disseminate most damnable heresies and who weaken the Catholic faith.”

Denzinger # 1797: Faith and human reason can never contradict one another.

But, although faith is above reason, nevertheless, between faith and reason no true dissension can ever exist, since the same God, who reveals mysteries and infuses faith, has bestowed on the human soul the light of reason; moreover, God cannot deny Himself, nor ever contradict the truth with truth. But, a vain appearance of such a contradiction arises chiefly from this, that either of the dogmas of faith have not been understood and interpreted according to the mind of the Church, or deceitful opinions are considered as the determinations of reason. Therefore, “every assertion contrary to the truth illumined by faith, we define the be altogether false” [Lateran Council V, see n.738].

Denzinger # 1799:

And, not only can faith and reason never be at variance with one another, but they also bring mutual help to each other, since right reasoning demonstrates the basis of faith and, illumined by its light, perfects the knowledge of divine things, while faith frees and protects reason from errors and provides it with manifold knowledge.

{4} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church teaches infallibly “truth never contradicts truth”; and because “right reasoning demonstrates the basis of faith”; and because reason teaches that geocentrism and heliocentrism contradict each other only one of them can be true; and because the Catholic Church teaches infallibly “between faith and reason no true dissension can ever exist”; that they deny dogmas of the Catholic Church who teach that men are free to believe in both geocentrism and heliocentrism?

St. Thomas teaches in the *Summa*, Q. 18, Art. 4:

“In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin.”

{5} Do you agree that any belief or teaching that is sinful and against reason is directly involved with Faith and/or Morals?

See *Denzinger* #1839:

And so We, adhering faithfully to the tradition received from the beginning of the Christian faith, to the glory of God, our Savior, the elevation of the Catholic religion and the salvation of Christian peoples, with the approbation of the sacred Council, teach and explain that the dogma has been divinely revealed, that the Roman Pontiff, where he speaks *ex cathedra*, that is, when carrying out the duty of the pastor and teacher of all Christians in accord with his supreme apostolic authority he explains a doctrine of faith or morals to be held by the universal Church, through the divine assistance promised him in blessed Peter, operates with that infallibility with which the divine Redeemer wished that His church be instructed in defining doctrines on faith and morals; and so such definitions of the Roman Pontiff from himself, but not from the consensus of the Church, are unalterable.

{6} Do you agree that the Catholic Church is infallible when teaching on all matters of Faith and/or Morals?

{7} Do you agree that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly it is against reason to teach that the Earth can be orbiting around the Sun while at the same time, the Sun is orbiting around the Earth?

Review some excerpts from *Mediator Dei*, Encyclical of Pope Pius XII on the Sacred Liturgy.

“In the sacred liturgy we profess the Catholic faith explicitly and openly. ... The entire liturgy, therefore, has the Catholic faith for its content, inasmuch as it bears public witness to the faith of the Church.

For this reason, whenever there was question of defining a truth revealed by God, the Sovereign Pontiff and the Councils in their recourse to the “theological sources,” as they are called, have not seldom drawn many an argument from this sacred science of the liturgy. ... Hence the well-known and venerable maxim, “*Legem credendi lex statuat supplicandi*” – let the rule for prayer determine the rule of belief. The sacred liturgy, consequently, does not decide or determine independently and of itself what is of Catholic faith. More properly, since the liturgy is also a profession of eternal truths, and subject, as such, to the supreme teaching authority of the Church, it can supply proofs and testimony, quite clearly, of no little value, towards the determination of a particular point of Christian doctrine.”

Now, let us turn to the liturgy and read the Collect for the sixth Sunday after the Epiphany since “The liturgy is also a profession of eternal truths” and because “Let the rule for prayer determine the rule of belief.”

“Grant, we beseech Thee, almighty God, that ever pondering on reasonable things, we may accomplish, both in words and works, that which is pleasing in Thy sight. Through our Lord Jesus Christ, Thy Son, who liveth and reigneth with Thee...”

Now we know that the infallible liturgy tells us we should be “ever pondering on reasonable things.” I must confess that I have not done this as much as I should. However, I also accuse you, and billions of others, of neglecting to ponder on reasonable things as much as and in the way that you should. Everyone who ponders on reasonable things will absolutely know heliocentrism never has been and never will be true because it is 100% impossible that the Earth can be spinning on its axis and orbiting the Sun since the day that we read about in Genesis 2:1 “*So the heavens and the earth were finished, and all the furniture of them.*” In other words, God created a geocentric world and it is 100% impossible that heliocentrism can be true – as everyone knows who ponders on reasonable things!

{8} Do you agree that because it is sinful and against reason to believe geocentrism and heliocentrism can both be true, the Church teaches infallibly geocentrism is true and heliocentrism is therefore not true, or the Church teaches infallibly heliocentrism is true and geocentrism is therefore not true?

Let us turn to St. Thomas Aquinas for help and review his teaching from the Summa, Q. 109, Art. 1, Pt. II-II:


“By truth we faithfully represent things as they are, were or will be. The more one tells the truth, the better it is.”

{9} Do you agree that the Catholic Church, the “*pillar and ground of the truth*”, can only accept one of the two contrary beliefs as the true one when one belief is true and the other one is false; consequently, the Catholic

Church must necessarily infallibly reject the other one as contrary to Her doctrine because the infallible Magisterium teaches “Nothing but the truth should be taught” and because “By truth we faithfully represent things as they are, were or will be”?

Because “nothing but the truth should be taught”, and because “By truth we faithfully represent things as they are, were or will be”, we will compare contraries to see if geocentrism or heliocentrism is the truth – because it is absolutely certain that both of them cannot be true at the same time.

First, study this picture produced by some of the best-known heliocentric promoters in the world, the professional liars from the Masonic Lodge.



Some of the best-known heliocentric promoters in the world teach that the Moon is orbiting around the Earth counterclockwise in an almost perfect elliptical circle at approximately 2,000 miles an hour, while at the same time, the Earth is moving in an almost perfect elliptical circle in a counterclockwise orbit around the Sun at 66,600 mph.

{10} Do you agree that heliocentrism teaches that the Moon orbits the Earth in about 30 days in an almost perfect elliptical orbit going counterclockwise and that the Moon stays approximately 239,000 miles from the Earth; although, they are traveling in opposite directions at the speed of approximately 69,600 mph?

{11} Do you agree that such a thing, as explained in the above paragraph, is an absolute lie because it is 100% impossible?

{12} Do you agree that the NASA Total Eclipse propaganda cartoon illustrates the Moon going down toward the bottom of the page in its clockwise orbit around the Sun, while at the same time, they have the Earth going toward the top of the page in a counterclockwise orbit around the Sun – in other words, the Moon and the Earth are going in opposite directions at a combined speed of about 69,600 miles an hour for more or less 15 days of every month?

{13} Do you agree that the heliocentric teaching explained in the above paragraph is against reason, contrary to the known truth, and an obvious LIE that is totally impossible to accomplish?

Let us review some things from the Introduction to the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*. Translated into English with notes by Fr. John A. McHugh and Fr. Charles J. Callan. Imprimatur 1923:

All those who had part in the work of the Catechism were instructed to avoid in his composition the particular opinions of individuals and schools, and to express the doctrine of the universal Church, keeping especially in mind the decrees of the Council of Trent. On November 2, 1563 the Council of Trent enjoined on all bishops to see that the Catechism should be faithfully expounded to the people by all parish priests. January 17, 1566 Pope Saint Pius V succeeded Pope Pius IV. One of the first acts of the new Pontiff was to appoint a number of expert theological revisers to examine every statement in the Catechism from the viewpoint of doctrine.

This Catechism is unlike any other summary of Christian doctrine, it enjoys a unique authority among manuals. It was issued by the *express command* of the Ecumenical Council of Trent. It subsequently received the *unqualified* approval of many Sovereign Pontiffs. In his Bull of June 14, 1761, Pope Clement XIII said that the Catechism contains a *clear* explanation of all that is necessary for salvation and useful for the

faithful, that it was composed with great care and industry and has been highly praised by *all*, that by it in former times the faith was strengthened, and that no other catechism can be compared with it. He concluded, then, that the Roman Pontiffs offered this work to pastors as a norm of Catholic teaching and discipline so that there might be uniformity and harmony in the instructions of all.

Pope Leo XIII wrote that, “This work is remarkable at once for the richness and exactness of its doctrine, and for the eloquence of its style; it is a precious summary of all theology, both dogmatic and moral. He who understands it well, will have always at his service those aids by which a priest is enabled to preach with fruit, to acquit himself worthily of the important ministry of the confessional and of the direction of souls, and *will be in a position to refute the objections of unbelievers.*” Pope Saint Pius X declared that pastors should give catechetical instructions, and for this purpose they should use the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*.

In addition to Popes and Councils, many Cardinals, Bishops, and other very distinguished and learned ecclesiastics, distinguished for their learning and sanctity, vied with one another in eulogizing the Catechism of Trent. Among other things they have said that not since the days of the Apostles has there been produced in a single volume so complete and practical a summary of Christian doctrine as this Catechism, and that, after the Sacred Scriptures, *there is no work* that can be read with *greater safety and profit*.

Cardinal Valerius, the friend of Saint Charles Borromeo, wrote of the Catechism: “This work contains all that is needful for the instruction of the faithful; and it is written with such order, clearness, and majesty that through it we seem to hear *holy Mother the Church herself, taught by the Holy Ghost, speaking to us.* ... It was composed by order of the Fathers of Trent under the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, and was published by the authority of the Vicar of Christ.”

Salmanticenses, the great Carmelite commentators on Saint Thomas, paid the following high tribute to the Catechism: “The authority of this Catechism has always been of the *greatest* in the Church, because it was composed by the command of the Council of Trent, because its authors were men of highest learning, and because *it was approved only after the severest scrutiny* by Popes Pius V and Gregory the XIII, and has been recommended in nearly all the Councils that have been held since the Council of Trent.”

The preceptor of Saint Francis de Sales said: “The Catechism of the Council of Trent was inspired by the Holy Ghost.” Dr. John Hagan, Rector of the Irish College in Rome, writes thus: “The Roman Catechism is a work of exceptional authority. At the very least *it has the same authority as a dogmatic encyclical*, it is an authoritative exposition of Catholic doctrine given forth, and guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and her supreme head on earth. The compilation of it was the work of various individuals; but the result of their combined labors *was accepted by the Church as a precious abridgment of dogmatic and moral theology*. All official documents have occasionally been issued by Popes to explain certain points of Catholic teaching to individuals, or to local Christian communities; whereas the *Roman Catechism* comprises practically the whole body of Christian doctrine, and is addressed to the whole Church.”

Further comments by Patrick Henry: reflect seriously on how Pope Saint Pius V appointed expert theological revisers to examine every statement in the catechism from the viewpoint of doctrine. Consider that this catechism was: 1) *Approved only after the severest scrutiny* by Pope Saint Pius V and Pope Gregory XIII. 2) *Approved and guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and her supreme head* on earth. 3) *Absolutely guaranteed to be free from error by the Apostolic See*. Let us recall that: “For any man to be able to prove his Catholic faith and affirm that he is truly a Catholic, he must be able to convince the Apostolic See of this.” How is it possible for a Catholic to convince himself that this *Catechism of the Council of Trent* contains heresy? There is no pope, Doctor of the Church, or canonized saint that made the statement that this catechism has heresy in it, for how could any logical man say such a thing?

Those who teach heliocentrism teach many lies. Let us take excerpts from the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* to learn what the Catholic Church teaches concerning telling lies while it explains the eighth commandment.

In order that the faithful may have a clear comprehension of this Commandment it should be explained who is our neighbour, against whom it is unlawful to bear false witness. According to the interpretation of Christ the Lord, our neighbour is he who needs our assistance, whether bound to us by ties of kindred or not, whether a fellow citizen or a stranger, a friend or an enemy. ... It is never allowed to have recourse to lies or deception, much less to perjury. ... This precept then prohibits deceit, lying and perjury on the part of witnesses. ... Finally, God prohibits all testimony which may inflict injury or injustice, whether it be a matter of legal evidence or not. In the passage of Leviticus where the Commandments are repeated, we read: *'Thou shalt not steal; thou shalt not lie; neither shall any man deceive his neighbour.'* To none, therefore can it be a matter of doubt, that this Commandment condemns lies of every sort, as these words of David explicitly declare: *Thou wilt destroy all that speak a lie.*

But of all sorts of calumnies the worst is that which is directed against Catholic doctrine and its teachers. Persons who extol the propagators of error and of unsound doctrine are guilty of a like crime. ... This commandment forbids lies of all kinds. ... In a word, lies of every sort are prohibited, especially those that cause grave injury to anyone, while most impious of all is a lie uttered against or regarding religion. ... It is sinful not only to speak, but to act deceitfully. ... Again it requires that no one pass sentence without a sufficient knowledge of the case.

The spirit of the precept not only prohibits false testimony, but also commands the truth to be told. In human affairs, to bear testimony to the truth is a matter of the highest importance, because there are innumerable things of which we must be ignorant unless we arrive at a knowledge of them on the faith of witnesses. In matters with which we are not personally acquainted and which we need to know, there is nothing so important as true evidence. Hence the words of St. Augustine: He who conceals the truth and he who utters falsehood are both guilty; the one, because he is unwilling to render a service; the other, because he has the will to do an injury.

In order that the faithful may be more disposed to avoid the vice of lying, the pastor should place before them the extreme lowness and disgrace of this sin. In the Sacred Scriptures the devil is called *the father of lies*; for as, *he stood not in the truth, he is a liar and the father thereof.*

It is the duty of a Christian to suffer any inconvenience rather than utter a falsehood.

The following is extracted from a sermon by Father Francis Hunolt titled: *"The Lying Tongue."* You are encouraged to go to <https://jmjsite.com/third-of-advent/> and read the entire sermon – or at least listen to the MP3 file.

God has forbidden lying as sinful, so that even a jocose lie is never lawful, under any circumstances.

Jeremias says: "They will not speak the truth, for they have taught their tongue to speak lies" (Jer. 9: 5).

"Thou hatest all the workers of iniquity; thou wilt destroy all that speak a lie" (Ps. 5: 7). And again: "The mouth that believeth killeth the soul" (Wis. 1: 11). And once more: "A false witness shall not be unpunished, and he that speaketh lies shall not escape" (Prov. 19: 5). What conclusion are we to draw from all this? That every lie is a mortal sin? No; but that lying must be extremely hateful to God.

1. Lying is opposed to truth, consequently to "the God of truth" (Ps. 30: 6). "I am the truth" (John 14: 6), says the Son of God of himself. "For this was I born, and for this came I into the world, that I should give testimony to the truth" (John 18: 37). On the other hand, the Holy Scripture calls the devil the father of lies; so that he who is in the habit of lying cannot be of God, but of the devil, because they who love lying are children of the devil.

2. It is never lawful to tell even a jocose lie. It is forbidden, under pain of grievous sin, to take away man's life; yet I may do so in self-defense. But not even to save my life would it be lawful for me to tell a lie. Stealing is forbidden under pain of grievous sin; yet under certain circumstances I may, without sin, take what belongs to another, namely, if I am in extreme necessity and have no other means of preserving my life. But it never would be lawful for me to procure necessary sustenance by telling a lie. But, you will ask, is lying, then, worse than murder and theft, since the latter are sometimes lawful, but the former never? No; by murder we mean taking away a man's life unjustly, an act that is always sinful in itself, and can never become lawful. Theft means taking away another's property unjustly, which is always sinful, and can never become lawful. Therefore, to take away a man's life or his property unjustly is certainly a greater sin than to tell a simple lie. The idea I wish to convey to you is this: Under certain circumstances God can give me the right over the life or property of another, so that if I kill a man I shall not be guilty of murder, or if I take away my neighbor's property I shall not be guilty of theft. On the other hand, God can never give us a right to tell a lie, nor can He counsel, much less command or approve of lying. As God can never under any circumstances make blasphemy or idolatry lawful, so He can never make even the smallest and most advantageous lie lawful.

Once and for all, to speak deliberately against one's knowledge is a lie, and that is never lawful, no matter what advantage may be derived from it.

Let us compare the NASA Total Eclipse propaganda cartoon (shown above) with what is observed every day in real life. Remember, "everything is known the more for being compared with its contrary, because when contraries are placed beside one another they become more conspicuous." Consider Mr. Earth in his dump truck hauling earth traveling westbound at 67 mph. Mr. Moon is coming eastbound in his moon car on the same road at 2 mph.

{14} Do you agree that the truck and car cannot possibly remain the same distance apart if they are coming together at the combined speed of 69 mph – and then once they meet and pass each other – they separate from one another at the speed of 69 mph?

{15} Do you agree that it follows in logic, common sense, and correct reasoning that it is a lie and cannot possibly be true when heliocentrism teaches the Earth and Moon always remain approximately the same distance apart while at the same time traveling in opposite directions at the combined speed of about 69,600 mph?

Remember what the infallible Magisterium teaches: "There can be no doubt that truth alone should imbue the minds of men, therefore nothing but the truth should be taught! What the Church cannot possibly do is to declare satisfactory a school regime based upon the principle that anybody should be free to teach what it pleases him to call truth, even though it may be error." "He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost."

{16} Do you agree that the above example of the Earth and Moon going in opposite directions, but remaining the same distance apart, is only one example of many of the infallible Magisterium teachings that heliocentrism should not be believed because it is against reason and cannot possibly be true?

{17} Do you agree with St. Thomas that: "In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin!"

{18} Do you agree that if the Moon and Earth are going in opposite directions at 69,600 mph, it is against reason and a lie, and therefore, the Catholic Church teaches infallibly it is a sin to believe they remain the same distance apart?


{19} Do you agree that because heliocentrism is against reason, directly contrary to the *known truth*, and filled with multiple *lies* and contradictions; the infallible Church teaches that it becomes sinful for anyone who understands what heliocentrism teaches to believe in the heliocentrism propaganda that the Earth and Moon are traveling in opposite directions at 69,600 mph and yet remain the same distance apart?

Remember the truth we learned from our infallible teacher: "There can be no doubt that truth alone should imbue the minds of men, therefore nothing but the truth should be taught."

{20} Do you agree that because we were taught infallibly that “nothing but the truth should be taught”, we are not free to teach or believe in heliocentrism because the heliocentric propaganda teaches the Moon and Earth can be going in opposite directions and still remain the same distance apart?

Because most people neglect to be “ever pondering on reasonable things” they neglect to understand what heliocentrism actually teaches. Let us review from another source how those who support heliocentrism explain the solar eclipse shadow and how it moves from west to east.

The following article about *It Goes the Wrong Way* was found at: [www\(dot\)hermit\(dot\)org/eclipse/why_solar\(dot\)html](http://www(dot)hermit(dot)org/eclipse/why_solar(dot)html), with emphasis added by Patrick Henry.



It Goes The Wrong Way!

So much for how eclipses happen -- but one question that often comes up is, why does the eclipse go from West to East, when the Sun and Moon go the other way?

Well, the movement of the Moon -- from East to West -- is, in fact, an illusion caused by the Earth's rotation. As a matter of fact, the Moon orbits in the same direction that the Earth rotates; anticlockwise, as seen from above the North pole. But whereas the Earth takes just 24 hours to do one rotation, the Moon takes a month to go round the Earth (actually, the Moon takes 27.32 days to orbit the earth).

This diagram illustrates the situation -- but remember that it's not even remotely to scale! [See the picture above, for his included diagram. However, Patrick Henry added the big blue arrow, the big green arrow, and the big orange arrow to emphasize the directions the author and all anti-geocentric promoters have the Earth, Moon, and Sun moving in their orbit paths.]

In other words, if the Earth were sitting still, the Moon would cross the sky from West to East. It would take 14 days to cross from horizon to horizon, and another 14 days to come around into view again. But the Earth doesn't sit still -- it rotates, every 24 hours, which is significantly faster than this. It's like if you're driving a car and overtake a jogger, they seem to be going backwards relative to you; the Earth rotates faster than the Moon's orbit, so the Moon seems to be going backwards, when it's actually going the same way.

So what happens to "fix" things during an eclipse? Well, the Moon orbits the Earth once a month; but the distance that it travels in that month is a whopping 2,415,256 km! [1,501,091 miles – P.H.] This means that it's moving really fast. By contrast, the Earth is a tiny 12,000km [7,458 miles – P.H.] across; so for the Moon to cross in front of the Earth -- for its shadow to cross the Earth -- doesn't take long at all; the Moon moves 12,000km in just 3 hours. [12,000km = 7,456.4543 Miles ÷ 3 = 2,486 mph – P.H.] (The exact time for the eclipse to cross the Earth depends on whether the Moon is crossing over the centre of the Earth or off-centre, and on what part of its elliptical orbit the Moon is in.) So the shadow zips across much faster than the Earth's rotation, which makes its real direction apparent.

To put it another way, the Moon only has to cross a tiny part of the sky -- a small fraction of its total orbit -- for its shadow to cross the Earth completely. This means that for an eclipse, the Moon's own "real" movement is the main cause of its movement; so the shadow goes West-to-East.

Look again at the above picture from the Hermit website, together with the NASA propaganda cartoon attempting to explain the eclipse on August 21, 2017.

Review what the author teaches: “The Moon orbits the Earth once a month; but the distance that it travels in that month is a whopping 2,415,256 km!” [1,501,091 miles – P.H.]

Heliocentrism teaches the earth is traveling 66,600 mph, 24 hours a day for 30 days during the month, for a total of 47,952,000 miles. 47,952,000 miles minus 1,501,091 miles = 46,450,909 miles.

{21} Do you agree that this proves that those who promote the already condemned heliocentric/anti-Catholic theory are *resisting the known truth* because their cosmology only has the Moon travel approximately 1,501,091 miles during the same 30 days that the Earth traveled approximately 47,952,000 miles, but they teach the LIE that the Moon was still able to make an elliptical orbit around the Earth going counterclockwise?

{22} Do you agree that it is a lie to teach heliocentrism is the truth?

{23} Do you agree that heliocentrism teaches the Moon is traveling clockwise around the Sun at about 2,000 mph, while at the same time, the Earth is traveling counterclockwise around the Sun at about 66,600 mph?

Although the NASA website and the Hermit website indicate by their pictures that the Earth is orbiting the Sun in a counterclockwise motion at 66,600 mph, it is very obvious that they completely STOPPED the Earth in its orbit during the time of the solar eclipse – because that is the only way they can even come close to getting the Moon’s shadow to go from West to East for the long duration of 91 minutes as it traveled from the Pacific Ocean at Oregon to the Atlantic Ocean at South Carolina.

{24} Do you agree that if the Earth is traveling at 66,600 mph, then (because there are 60 minutes in an hour) it is traveling at 1,110 miles per minute?

Searching for information concerning the total solar eclipse of August 21, 2017, revealed that it was approximately 3,000 miles that the eclipse shadow traveled from the time it touched land in Oregon until it left land in South Carolina. Also, it took that eclipse shadow 91 minutes to make that trip.

{25} Do you agree that if the Earth travels at 1,110 miles per minute, then it takes less than three minutes, and not 91 minutes, to travel 3,000 miles?

Consider Mr. Earth in his dump truck hauling earth traveling westbound at 66.6 mph. Mr. Moon is coming eastbound in his moon car on the same road at 2 mph.

{26} Do you agree that when the moon car and dump truck meet each other, it takes them less than one second to travel 3 feet while they pass one another?

{27} Do you agree that heliocentrism teaches the Earth and Moon were traveling in opposite directions at over 1,110 miles per minute and therefore, *if the statements and teachings of heliocentrism were true*, the shadow of the Moon crossing over the surface of the Earth would have traveled the 3,000 miles from the Pacific Ocean to the Atlantic Ocean in less than three minutes?

{28} Do you agree that it is a KNOWN TRUTH that it took that eclipse shadow approximately 91 minutes to travel from the Pacific Ocean to the Atlantic Ocean?

{29} Do you agree that no power in heaven, on earth, or under the earth can ever truthfully demonstrate, explain, or clarify how the total solar eclipse shadow moves from West to East according to the figures, calculations, and demonstrations of heliocentrism?

{30} Do you agree with the only logical, reasonable, and truthful answer that the total solar eclipse shadow absolutely proves beyond every conceivable, imaginable, and possible doubt that heliocentrism cannot be true and that it is a lie to teach that heliocentrism is possible?

Did you again neglect to be “ever pondering on reasonable things” while reading the article *It Goes The Wrong Way*? Read again what that author wrote! “Why does the eclipse go from West to East, when the Sun and Moon go the other way?”

Well, the movement of the Moon-- from East to West-- is, in fact, an illusion caused by the Earth's rotation. As a matter of fact, the Moon orbits in the same direction that the Earth rotates; anticlockwise, as seen from above the North pole.”

{31} Do you agree that the author admits an absolute truth that the eclipse shadow is going from West to East?

{32} Do you agree that the author goes on to tell us that the Sun and Moon go the other way – which is of course East to West?

{33} Do you agree that in the next paragraph, the author states that it is an illusion that the Moon goes from East to West?

{34} Do you agree that the author goes on to explain that the heliocentric religion has the Sun and Earth always going in the opposite direction of the Moon, not only during a solar eclipse but for about 15 days out of every month of the year?

{35} Do you agree that such excessive idiotic super stupid nonsense of the teachings and beliefs of the heliocentric religion is directly opposite of the *known truth*?

Remember, to impugn the *known truth*, and resisting the *known truth* are among the unforgivable sins against the Holy Ghost. Recall to mind the infallible doctrine from the Magisterium: “He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost.”

{36} Do you agree that the heliocentric religion is directly opposite of the *known truth*, the Catholic Church teaches infallibly that no one has permission from God to sin against the Holy Ghost, and therefore, no one may accept, teach, or promulgate heliocentrism without sinning against the Holy Ghost?

Did you know that it is an absolute, provable, and unquestionable fact that every solar eclipse shadow crosses over the Earth from the west toward the east?

Did you know that it is an absolute, provable, and unquestionable fact that it is impossible for any of the angels or men in heaven on Earth or under the Earth to logically explain how the eclipse shadow crosses over the Earth from the west toward the east according to the heliocentric explanation of the universe?

Probably, what I asked and stated in the above paragraph is not understood and therefore rejected by over 8 billion people as I write this letter. Many would state something such as, “It is common knowledge that the Earth spins on its axis and orbits the Sun, as has been proved to be true for hundreds of years.” The problem is that it has never been proven to be true and never will be proven to be true because it is absolutely impossible that heliocentrism can be true.

Let us work together to examine two facts.

FIRST FACT: It is an absolute, provable, and unquestionable fact that every solar eclipse shadow crosses over the Earth from the west toward the east.

SECOND FACT: It is an absolute, provable, and unquestionable fact that it is impossible for anyone to logically explain how the eclipse’s shadow crosses over the Earth from the west toward the east according to the heliocentric explanation of the universe.

The FIRST FACT is so much “right in your face obvious”, that it is easily comprehended by all who study the matter.

The SECOND FACT is also very much “right in your face obvious.” However, it takes a little more thinking and few people love the truth enough to even look at the evidence and proof.

Let us review again from St. Thomas in the Summa, Q. 76, Art. 2:

“Wherefore through negligence, ignorance of what one is bound to know is a sin.”

Pope Benedict XV teaches us in *Fausto Appetente Die*:

Nothing is such a hindrance to eternal salvation as the ignorance of the truth and perversity of doctrine.

Pope Benedict XV teaches us in *Humani Generis Redemptionem*:

“Ignorance is the mother of all errors,” as the Fourth Lateran Council so truthfully observes.

It is actually easy to understand the truth of why the eclipse shadow can never move from the west toward the east according to the heliocentric hypothesis, although some simple math is required. Do not shy away. The math is simply addition, subtraction, division, and multiplication – nothing like calculus, algebra, and trigonometry. This truth can be understood by all whom God has blessed with the reasoning abilities of a child in grade school who could pass a simple test in the class of children about ½ way through grade school: *Logic and Common Sense 101*.

STEP ONE: Reflect upon a bead that is 1 inch in circumference, which makes it about 5/16 of an inch in diameter, such as a small rosary bead. Consider a ball approximately 25,000 miles in circumference – as many teach the Earth to be. Use your intellect to consider that bead 1 inch in circumference in the center of the sphere-shaped earth.

STEP TWO: As taught by the heliocentric hypothesis, consider the Moon, 240,000 miles from the Earth, orbiting it in a counterclockwise path at the speed of one orbit in 29 ½ days – which we will round up to 30 days.

{37} Do you agree that 30 days times 24 hours per day equals 720 hours?

Remember that it is a *known truth* that it takes the Moon 30 days to make one orbit around the center of the Earth, and therefore, it takes the Moon 30 days to travel a distance of only 1 inch - which is the circumference of the bead. This is the teaching of heliocentrism.

{38} Do you agree that if it takes the Moon 30 days to make one orbit around the bead in the center of the ball-Earth, then the Moon is only traveling around the bead at a very slow speed of 1/720 of an inch per hour (approximately the distance of the diameter of a human hair) – regardless of the actual speed it is moving in its orbit path?

Next, move out from the center of the Earth about 4,000 miles to its surface where it is 25,000 miles in circumference. For this explanation, we will not have the Earth spinning on its axis for the sake of clarifying something very important. Now, remember it is absolutely an observable, provable, and verifiable TRUE FACT that according to the beliefs of heliocentrism, it takes the Moon 29 ½ or 30 days to make one orbit around the Earth with a 25,000-mile circumference. In other words, simply look at your calendar and you will see that it is about 30 days from the New Moon of one month until you come to the New Moon of the next month. If it takes 30 days to travel 25,000 miles, how many miles per hour are you traveling? Remember that 30 days times 24 hours each day equals 720 hours. 25,000 miles divided by 720 hours equals approximately 34.7 mph.

Consider this way heliocentrism calculates the speed of the Moon according to their statement that it makes an elliptical orbit around the Earth in 720 hours, traveling counterclockwise. The Moon is about 240,000 miles from the Earth, (that is the radius). $240,000 \times 2 = 480,000$ miles, (that is the diameter). 480,000 miles times 3.1416 equals 1,507,968, (which is the total distance of the circumference orbit). 1,507,968 divided by 720 hours equals **2,094.4 mph**.

{39} Do you agree that according to the math and statements provided by those who promote heliocentrism, the Moon is traveling approximately 2,094 miles per hour around the Earth in a counterclockwise orbit?

Please note this important point very well: The truth is that no matter how far the heliocentric hypothesis has the Moon from the Earth nor how many thousands of miles per hour it is moving in its orbit path, it still remains a very IMPORTANT FACT, that no one can deny it takes the Moon about 30 days to make one orbit around the Earth according to heliocentrism. Consequently, it would take the Moon 720 hours to travel the 25,000 miles of the circumference around the surface of the Earth. Therefore, the Moon would only be going approximately **35** mph over the surface of the Earth. This is simply a very important truth of math which nobody can deny.

The next step is to realize that according to heliocentrism, the Earth is spinning counterclockwise on its axis at approximately 1,035 mph, and a point at the surface of the Earth makes one complete 360° orbit around the bead in the center of the Earth in just 24 hours.

Heliocentrism clearly, distinctly, and definitely teaches the Earth makes one complete orbit in 24 hours while at the same time, it takes the Moon 720 hours. The Earth makes one orbit in one day, while it takes the Moon 30 days to make one orbit!

{40} Do you agree that it is a fact that no human being can deny, namely, that we get a new day about every 24 hours; while at the same time, it takes the Moon 30 days to go from the New Moon of one month to the New Moon the next month – in other words, in the heliocentric hypothesis it takes the Moon 30 times as long to go around the bead in the center of the Earth one orbit as it took the Earth?

Remember, that the infallible liturgy tells us we should be “ever pondering on reasonable things.”

{41} Do you agree that for those who will ponder on reasonable things, study, and accept the truth, it becomes absolutely clear-cut and well-defined that the eclipse shadow moving west to east proves 100% that heliocentrism never has been, is not now, and never will be true?

{42} Do you agree that those who understand what we have studied now have 100% certitude that the heliocentric hypothesis proclaims and teaches many lies that are directly, very obviously, and absolutely contrary to the *known truth*?

You can watch the seven-minute video <https://www.jmjsite.com/g/08.mp4> to see a visual of what we have been explaining recently in this letter.

If you are still having a difficult time understanding what has been explained in this letter, it might be very helpful to watch the video where all this has been explained in various ways in greater detail. Here is the hyperlink: <https://player.vimeo.com/video/847618485>.

Again, remember what the infallible magisterium teaches: “There can be no doubt that truth alone should imbue the minds of men, therefore nothing but the truth should be taught! What the Church cannot possibly do is to declare satisfactory a school regime based upon the principle that anybody should be free to teach what it pleases him to call truth, even though it may be error.”

{43} Do you agree that the correct conclusion is that because the infallible magisterium teaches nothing but the truth should be taught, neither you nor any other person, whether they be ecclesiastical or civil, have permission from the Infallible Truth to teach at any time or in any way that the Earth is spinning on its axis and orbiting the Sun?

Remember that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* was: 1) *Approved only after the severest scrutiny* by Pope Saint Pius V and Pope Gregory XIII. 2) *Approved and guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and her supreme head on earth.* 3) *Absolutely guaranteed to be free from error by the Apostolic See.* Review the infallible doctrine as a reminder of why the Catholic Church will never teach or accept heliocentrism as true: “It is never allowed to have recourse to lies or deception. This commandment forbids lies of all kinds. In a word, lies of every sort are prohibited, especially those that cause grave injury to anyone, while most impious of all is a lie uttered against or regarding religion. It is the duty of a Christian to suffer any inconvenience rather than utter a falsehood. God has forbidden lying as sinful, so that even a jocose lie is never lawful under any circumstances. Once and for all, to speak deliberately against one’s knowledge is a lie, and that is never lawful, no matter what advantage may be derived from it.”


{44} Do you agree that it is impossible for anyone to teach and explain how the solar eclipse shadow moves from the West toward the East according to heliocentrism, without telling lies and using contradictions, dishonesty, deceit, treachery, falseness, fraudulence, and deceitfulness?

{45} Do you agree that telling lies is a matter of faith and morals?

{46} Do you agree that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly on matters of faith and morals?

{47} Do you agree that it follows with correct logic that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly that heliocentrism cannot be true?

180° out of phase provides 100% proof heliocentrism is a lie.



Seasons of the year according to heliocentrism.

“Ever pondering on reasonable things,” as we pray during the infallible liturgy of the Church, let us ponder, contemplate, and study how heliocentrism explains the Four Seasons of the year. It is a known truth heliocentrism teaches the Earth is tilted on its imaginary axis at 23.4° (66.6°) – as shown in the picture. Study, analyze, examine, consider, and evaluate the picture to understand what it is telling you. Notice how South, Central, and North America are always represented as being closest to you during all Four Seasons of the year. That is because the heliocentric hypothesis MUST have the Earth spin EXACTLY 360° every 24 hours of 86,400 seconds. The picture shows the sun with Africa lit up at 12 o’clock NOON during the June solstice. As the Earth spins around one time on the day of the June solstice all parts in the northern hemisphere receive sunshine at NOON. Please take special notice that at 12 o’clock NOON, Africa is pointing toward the right side of the picture. Therefore, after every 86,400 seconds, it is always NOON time when Africa is on the right side of the picture.

However, heliocentrism of necessity faces an UNSOLVABLE, unfathomable, and unmanageable problem. During the December solstice, because the Earth spins exactly 360° every 24 hours, 12 o’clock NOON in Africa of necessity has to be at the very far right of the page. However, as the picture demonstrates, heliocentrism has the UNSOLVABLE problem of everything being 180° out of phase!!! **It is midnight when it should be noon and vice versa!!!**

Have you ever heard that a picture is worth a thousand words? This picture is so “right in your face obvious” that heliocentrism is a gigantic **LIE**, that we wonder why we ever allowed the heliocentric magicians to trick us! Nonetheless, if you are not able to see the trickery of the magicians by looking at the picture, I extracted a short section from the long movie I made several years ago which explains it in detail. You can watch that short video using this link <https://www.jmjsite.com/g/180a2.mp4>. Recently a friend provided a video where another man explained the same obvious heliocentric LIES by using animations. Please watch his video using this link <https://www.jmjsite.com/g/180a.mp4>.

The teaching of the Catholic Church is that: “Something cannot be pleasing to God if it is against reason! Reason is our connection to morality! Whatever is moral is connected to God's will by reason. If it is unreasonable, it cannot possibly be in accordance with God's will! All moral theology is based on that; the connection of what you do to right reason based on the authority; on principles that are revealed by God, – the Ten Commandments.”

In your letter of July 16, you wrote:

In other words, if we adhere to the *infallible teaching Church*, we know that whatever contradicts Her teachings is not right reason. On the other hand, if we allow our reason to be guided by Faith we have a firm foundation for its proper use.

I will take your true teaching, and reverse it to add another truth: “Whatever is *not right reason* contradicts the teachings of the infallible Church.” Remember, the *infallible teaching Church* informed us, “Nothing but the truth should be taught.” “Truth never contradicts truth.” “In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin!”

If you want to remain ignorant through negligence of why the Catholic Church condemns heliocentrism, then again read a Catholic doctrine explained by St. Thomas: “Wherefore through negligence, ignorance of what one is bound to know is a sin.” Remember Pope Leo XIII teaches in *Officio Sanctissimo*: “The darkness of ignorance is the greatest enemy to religion.”

Human reason clearly teaches those who use it that the Earth is not tilted on its imaginary axis. Another very important truth follows from this first truth. Namely, that the Sun is much smaller in size than the Earth. Human reason tells us that if the Sun is 109 times larger than the Earth as some who believe in geocentrism teach, then both the Arctic Circle and Antarctic Circle would be completely lit up at all times every day of the year!

Of course, 109 inches is 9'1". Consider a room that is 9'1" from the floor to the ceiling, and you have a tall light 9'1" that reaches from the floor to the ceiling. Take a globe 1 inch in diameter, (such as the one shown in the long movie), and hang it halfway between the floor and the ceiling at 54 ½ inches. Do you understand that the tall lamp from floor-to-ceiling would more than light up the Arctic Circle and Antarctic Circle of the little globe only 1 inch in diameter? The 9'1" tall (sun)light would not only light up the 1-inch-diameter Earth globe, but the light would more than light up the Arctic Circle and Antarctic Circle. The light would come down much farther and provide “The Land of the Midnight Sun” to include all of the land from the Tropic of Cancer to the North and all of the land from the Tropic of Capricorn to the South to be lit up 24/7/365. Therefore, the Sun for this example of using a globe only 1 inch in diameter, would have to be much smaller than a BB because the Sun only goes as far north as the Tropic of Cancer and as far south as the Tropic of Capricorn. With a 1-inch globe, the light source for the sun would have to be very small because it takes 182 days to travel from the Tropic of Cancer to the Tropic of Capricorn – which would only be less than one-half of an inch on the globe that is only 1 inch total from North Pole to South Pole.

{48} Do you agree that human reason teaches the Sun must be much smaller than the Earth?

Some accept the truth that the Earth is the center of the universe, but they still teach that it is a globe tilted on its axis at 23.4° (66.6°). Now, if the Earth is a globe tilted at 66.6° then when the Sun orbits it every 24 hours we would have at least one-half of the Arctic Circle covered with sunshine for at least half of the day. Also, at least one-half of the Antarctic Circle would be covered with sunshine for at least 12 hours every day of the year when it is not cloudy or the sky is filled with chemtrails.

{49} Do you agree that human reason teaches that the Earth cannot be tilted on its axis even if the Earth is stationary and the Sun orbits around it?

{50} Do you agree that human reason provides absolute proof that heliocentrism is a LIE in direct contradiction to the truth and the teaching of the Catholic Faith?

Review again Denzinger # 1797: Faith and human reason can never contradict one another.

“But, although faith is above reason, nevertheless, between faith and reason no true dissension can ever exist, since the same God, who reveals mysteries and infuses faith, has bestowed on the human soul the light of reason; moreover, God cannot deny Himself, nor ever contradict the truth with truth.”

Denzinger # 738: “And since truth never contradicts truth, we declare every assertion contrary to the truth of illumined faith to be altogether false; and, that it may not be permitted to dogmatize otherwise, we strictly forbid it, and we decree that all who adhere to errors of this kind are to be shunned and to be punished as detestable and abominable infidels who disseminate most damnable heresies and who weaken the Catholic faith.”

{51} Do you agree that because heliocentrism contradicts right reason and the truth, the dogmas of the Catholic Church forbid you and everyone else to dogmatize otherwise, and She strictly forbids it?

{52} Do you agree that Catholic dogma decrees that all who adhere to the errors of heliocentrism are abominable infidels to disseminate most damnable heresies?

Recall that we learned earlier in this letter that: The authority of this Catechism [*Catechism of the Council of Trent* also known as *The Roman Catechism of Trent*] has always been of the *greatest* in the Church because it was composed by the command of the Council of Trent. It was composed by order of the Fathers of Trent under the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, and was published by the authority of the Vicar of Christ.

Review from the Bull of Pius IV, *Iniunctum nobis*, November 13, 1565. *The Profession of Faith of the Council of Trent* found in *Denzinger* numbers 994 and 1000.

“I, N., with firm faith believe and profess all and everything which is contained in the creed of faith, which the holy Roman Catholic Church uses, ... Also all of the things taught, defined, and declared by the sacred canons and ecumenical Councils, and especially by the sacred and holy Synod of Trent, ...”

(*Denzinger* 1469):

“Likewise, I revere and accept the *Council of Trent*, and I profess what was defined and declared in it.”

In summary, we know the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* was composed by the command of the Council of Trent and declared free from all errors by the Vicar of Christ. Furthermore, we profess the Catholic dogma in *Denzinger* 1000 with our hand on the Bible and God as our witness that “we believe and profess all and everything ... taught, defined, and declared ... by the sacred and holy Synod of Trent.”

{53} Do you agree that the dogmas found in *Denzinger* 1000 and 1469 teach that the faith found in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* is free from errors and must be accepted and believed by Catholics?

From the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* we learn the Catholic doctrine: “The eighth commandment prohibits deceit, lying and perjury. This Commandment condemns lies of every sort. The eighth commandment also commands the truth to be told.”

{54} Do you agree that it is a known truth and proven fact that heliocentrism is deceitful and teaches many lies of every sort?

{55} Do you agree the Catholic Church condemns heliocentrism as an anti-Catholic false doctrine because heliocentrism teaches multiple lies, deceits, falsehoods, fabrications, and deceptions condemned by the Church? (The *Oath Against the Errors of Modernism* is found in *Denzinger* starting at # 2145.)

{56} Do you agree that when Catholic discipline requires all clerics about to receive Major Orders to put their hand on the Bible and call upon God as their witness as they take the *Oath Against the Errors of Modernism*, they must believe what that Oath contains?

{57} Do you agree that it is not the practice of the Catholic Church to have every one of Her children put their hand on the Bible and call upon God as their witness as they take the *Oath Against the Errors of Modernism*?

{58} Do you agree that although the Catholic Church does not require each one of Her children to formally and publicly take the *Oath Against the Errors of Modernism*, nevertheless, every Catholic must believe all the dogmas, doctrines, and Catholic teaching contained in the *Oath Against the Errors of Modernism*?

The Catholic Church can never change Her dogmas because they are immutable. She is guided by God the Holy Ghost and *never* makes a mistake!

Pope St. Leo the Great, *Magno Munere*:

“The faith shall never vary in any age, for one is the faith which justifies the Just of all ages. It is unlawful to differ even by a single word from apostolic doctrine.”

Pope Benedict XV, *Ad Beatissimi*:

“The Catholic Faith is such that nothing can be added to it, nothing taken away. Either it is held in its entirety, or rejected totally. This is the Catholic faith, which, unless a man believes faithfully and firmly, he cannot be saved.”

Pope Saint Sixtus III, *De Jejun*:

“Nothing new is to be allowed, for nothing can be added to the old. Look for the faith of the elders, and do not let our faith be disturbed by a mixture of new doctrines.”

Pope Pius IX, *Ubi Primum*:

“Nothing can ever pass away from the words of Jesus Christ, nor can anything be changed which the Catholic Church received from Christ to guard, protect, and preach.”

Pope Gregory XVI, *Mirari Vos*:

“Let nothing of the truths that have been defined be lessened, nothing altered, nothing added; but let them be preserved intact in word and in meaning.”

First Vatican Council, Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith, Ch. 4, *Denzinger* 1800:

“The faith which God has revealed has not been proposed like a theory of philosophy, to be elaborated upon by human understanding, but as a divine deposit to be faithfully guarded and infallibly declared. Therefore, that sense of sacred dogmas is to be kept forever which Holy Mother Church has once declared, and it must never be deviated from on the specious pretext of a more profound understanding. Let intelligence, and science, and wisdom increase, but only according to the same dogma, the same sense, the same meaning. If anyone shall have said that there may ever be attributed to the doctrines proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands: let him be anathema.”

St. Cyril of Alexandria, *Epistle 55*:

“For it is not allowable for anyone to change even one word nor allow one syllable to be passed over.”

St. John of the Cross, *The Collected Works of St. John of the Cross*:

“Wherefore, if there be revealed to us anything new or different, we must in no way give consent to it, not even though it were spoken by an angel.”

Saint Thomas Aquinas, *On the Truth of the Catholic Faith, Q. #14, art. 12*:

“Our faith is identical with that of the ancients. Deny this, and you dissolve the unity of the Church. We must hold this for certain: that the faith of the people at the present day is one with the faith of the people of past centuries. Were this not true, then we would be in a different church than they and, literally, the Church would not be One.”

{59} Do you agree that it is itself a Catholic doctrine that all Catholics are bound to believe what the Church requires of any one of Her children to believe, – especially if that child of the Church is professing Catholic doctrine during a formal abjuration composed by the Catholic Church, with their hand on the Bible calling upon God as their witness to what they say is the truth?

{60} Do you agree that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly not only during Her declarations but also during Her condemnations?

Remember the Catholic doctrine explained by St. Thomas, that the faith of the people at the present day is one with the faith of the people of past centuries. Galileo had to abjure errors and beliefs contrary to the doctrines of the Catholic Church. Therefore, you and I, and every Catholic, must abjure in like manner and believe and condemn everything Galileo was made to abjure and believe and condemn by the infallible Magisterium. This abjuration is just as serious as the need to believe and accept what is found in *The Oath Against the Errors of Modernism* and/or *The Profession of Faith of the Council of Trent*.

“I, Galileo Galilei, son of the late Vincenzo Galilei of Florence, aged seventy years, appearing personally before this court, and kneeling before you, the most eminent and reverend Lord Cardinals, Inquisitors-General of the universal Christian Republic against heretical pravity, having before my eyes the most holy Gospels, and touching them with my hands, swear that I always have believed, and now believe, and with God’s help will always believe, all that the Holy Catholic and Apostolic Roman Catholic Church holds, preaches, and teaches. But because, this Holy Office has juridically enjoined me to abandon altogether the false opinion which holds *that the sun is the centre of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves*; and had forbidden me to hold, defend, or teach in any manner, the said false doctrine; and after it had been notified to me that *the said document is repugnant to Holy Scripture*, I wrote and caused to be printed a book, wherein I treat of the same doctrine already condemned, and adduced arguments with great efficacy in favor of it, without offering any solution of them; therefore I am judged vehemently suspected of heresy, that is, of *having held and believed that the sun is the centre of the world and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves*. Wherefore, desiring to remove from the minds of your Eminences, and all Catholic Christians, this vehement suspicion legitimately conceived against me, with a sincere heart and faith unfeigned, I abjure, curse, and detest, the above named-errors, and heresies, and generally every other error and sect contrary to the above-mentioned Holy Church; and I swear that for the future, I will neither say, nor assert by word-of-mouth, or in writing, anything to bring upon me similar suspicion. And if I shall know any heretic, or one suspected of *heresy*, I will denounce them to this Holy Office, or to the Inquisitor, or Ordinary of the place in which I may be. Moreover I swear, and promise, to fulfill, and observe entirely, all the penances that have been or shall be imposed on me by this Holy Office. And if – which God forbid – I act against any of these said promises, protestations, and oaths, I subject myself to all the penalties and punishments which the sacred canons, and other constitutions, general and particular, have enacted, and promulgated against such delinquents. So help me God, and His holy Gospels, which I touch with my hands.

I, Galileo Galilei above-named, have abjured, sworn, promised, and bound myself as above; in token whereof I have signed with my own hand this formula of my adjuration, and have recited it word by word.”

{61} Do you agree that the Holy Office (in other words, the infallible *Magisterium*) has juridically enjoined every one of Her children to abandon altogether the false opinion which holds *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves*?

{62} Do you agree that the Catholic Church has forbidden every Catholic to hold, defend, or teach in any manner, the said false doctrine *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves*?

{63} Do you agree that through this abjuration the Catholic Church teaches infallibly that the said false doctrine *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves* are repugnant to Holy Scripture?

{64} Do you agree that through this abjuration we have the Catholic Church infallibly teaching that the said false doctrine taught by Galileo, *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves*, was already condemned?

Recall the dogma from the *Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith* (see *Denzinger* 1800): “That sense of sacred dogmas is to be kept forever which Holy Mother Church has once declared, and it must never be deviated from on the specious pretext of a more profound understanding... If anyone shall have said that there may ever be attributed to the doctrines proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands: let him be anathema.”

{65} Do you accept the dogma, we know from *Denzinger* 1800, which Holy Mother Church has declared, namely, that it is a false doctrine *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves* and that the said false doctrine are *repugnant to Holy Scripture*?

{66} Do you agree the truth taught and the errors condemned during the pontificate of Pope St. Pius X must be accepted by all Catholics?

{67} Do you agree the truth taught and the errors condemned during the pontificate of Pope Pius IX must be accepted by all Catholics?

{68} Do you agree the truth taught and the errors condemned during the pontificate of Pope Urban VIII must be accepted by all Catholics?

Remember the teaching of the infallible Magisterium, “He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost.”

{69} Do you agree that it is against reason, resisting the known truth, and sinning against the Holy Ghost to refuse to accept the truth taught and the errors condemned during the pontificate of Pope Urban VIII, including everything the Catholic Church formulated in the abjuration for all of Her children but especially for Galileo?

Remember the Teaching Magisterium informed us, “Nothing but the truth should be taught.”

{70} Do you agree the words: “*the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves*” is the definition of heliocentrism?

{71} Do you agree the Catholic Church makes Her children kneel down with their hand on the Bible and God as their witness while they profess they believe: “It is a false doctrine *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves* and that the said false doctrine is *repugnant to Holy Scripture*”?

Remember “truth never contradicts truth.”

{72} Do you agree that false doctrine is not the truth, and the false doctrine of heliocentrism can never be the truth because heliocentrism is a system of multiple lies, deceits, and dishonesties that contradict the truth; and the Catholic Church can never teach that heliocentrism is true?

{73} Do you agree heliocentrism was condemned as heresy and the Church did not err when teaching: “It is a false doctrine *that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves* and that the said false doctrine is *repugnant to Holy Scripture*”?

In 1610, Galileo published *Sidereus nuncius* with its straightforward, direct, and obvious advocacy, promotion, and advancement of heliocentrism and consequent dependence on a non-literal interpretation of Scripture. In 1615 the Church approved investigators for the Inquisition. A year later, on February 19, 1616, the investigator submitted two proposition statements to the Holy Office that summed up the objections to the Copernican model:

- 1) The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion.
- 2) The Earth is not the center of the world and is not immobile, but moves according to the whole of itself, and also with diurnal motion.

On February 24, 1616, the Holy Office of the Ordinary *Magisterium* issued these censures:

Regarding the first proposition:

“All agree that this proposition is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and FORMALLY heretical because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians.”

Regarding the second proposition:

“All agree that this proposition receives the same censure in philosophy and in respect to theological truth, it is at least erroneous in faith.”

{74} Do you agree Saint Francis De Sales stated, avowed, and affirmed a doctrine taught by the Catholic Church when he wrote: “To say the Church errs is to say no less that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy?”

{75} Do you agree that because the Holy Office cannot err, you and all others who teach the Church did not condemn heliocentrism as formally heretical and a false doctrine, pronounce, state, and express a great blasphemy?

The Faith cannot change. Truth cannot contradict truth. The mind cannot accept contradiction, and if the mind cannot accept contradiction, even more so the true Church of Christ cannot give us contradictory teachings.

FIRST POINT: the Holy Office provided the true doctrine that the proposition: “The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion” is “foolish and absurd in philosophy, and formally heretical because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians.”

SECOND POINT: the true Church of Christ cannot give us contradictory teachings.

THIRD POINT: it follows that Catholics must believe the Church teaches infallibly: The proposition that “The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion” is “foolish and absurd in philosophy, and formally heretical since it explicitly contradicts in many places the sense of Holy Scripture.”

So, are they Catholic or non-Catholic, members of the Church or cut off from the Church because of their heretical beliefs, who believe heliocentrism has never been infallibly condemned?

††† What follows is from a section of what you presented in your letter of August 18 †††

Providentissimus Deus of Pope Leo XIII. The quote you gave indeed supports the supreme authority of the holy Fathers in their unanimous interpretation of the Bible *as pertaining to the doctrine of faith and morals*. In the beginning of the same paragraph the Holy Father states that the Catholic doctrine is:

“...*authoritatively proposed by the Church* and should be held as the supreme law; for, seeing that the same God is the author both of the sacred books and of the doctrine committed to the Church, it is clearly impossible that any teaching can, by legitimate means, be extracted from the former (the sacred books) which shall, in any respect, be at variance with the latter (the doctrine of the Church). Hence it follows that all interpretation is foolish or false which either makes the sacred writers disagree one with another *or is opposed to the doctrine of the Church*.” (para. 13 of the online version)

In paragraph 18, the Holy Father contends against those who make an evil use of physical science, and points out the difficulties in carefully reconciling the truths of physical nature with the Scriptures. He gives the rules of St. Augustine, “not to make rash assertions, or to assert what is not known as known”, etc.” Pope Leo XIII then makes a statement that completely destroys the notion that anything the Bible or the Fathers said about the *essential nature of the things of the visible universe* is a matter of faith:

“To understand how just is the rule here formulated we must remember, first, that the sacred writers, or to speak more accurately, **the Holy Ghost “Who spoke by them, did not intend to teach men these things (that is to say, the essential nature of the things of the visible universe), things in no way profitable unto salvation.”**

The Holy Father gave a direct quote from St. Augustine, a Father of the Church (S. Aug. ib. ii., 9, 20). The Pope’s subsequent words leave no doubt as to his meaning:

“Hence they (the sacred writers) *did not seek to penetrate the secrets of nature, but rather described and dealt with things in more or less figurative language*, or in terms which were commonly used at the time and which in many instances are in daily use at this day, even by the most eminent men of science. Ordinary speech primarily and properly describes what comes under the senses; and somewhat in the same way the sacred writers — as the

Angelic Doctor also reminds us — “went by what sensibly appeared,” or put down what God, speaking to men, signified, in the way men could understand and were accustomed to.” (para. 18)

Providentissimus Deus, far from supporting your notion that geocentrism is an article of faith, actually refutes it. It states that not only did the Holy Ghost not put any such information about the physical nature of the universe into Sacred Scripture, it goes even further and tells us that these things are in *no way profitable to our salvation*.

The *Magisterium* speaks of the essential nature of the things of the visible universe as things that are “in no way profitable unto salvation.” Yet your position is the opposite, and has you teaching geocentrism as *essential* to salvation.

In 1943 Pope Pius XII reaffirmed this teaching of *Providentissimus Deus* and commanded obedience:

“This teaching, which Our Predecessor Leo XIII set forth with such solemnity, We also proclaim with Our authority and We urge all to adhere to it religiously. No less earnestly do We inculcate obedience at the present day to the counsels and exhortations which he, in his day, so wisely enjoined.” (*Divino Afflante Spiritu* para. 3)

Quite obviously St. Robert Bellarmine would never have maintained his private *opinion* expressed in a personal letter against the sacred authority of the *Magisterium*. If you have read through his theological works you will find that he says so himself, and that he was an ardent champion and defender of the sacred teaching authority of the Roman Catholic Church.

Therefore, there is no justification whatsoever for taking a private quote of his completely out of context and making it the bedrock of your own dogmatic teaching. As I mentioned in the last email, he simply said those passages of Scripture would have to be carefully explained. And His Holiness Pope Leo XIII did exactly that when he quoted St. Augustine (a Father of the Church):

“...we must remember, first, that the sacred writers, or to speak more accurately, the Holy Ghost “Who spoke by them, did not intend to teach men these things (that is to say, the essential nature of the things of the visible universe), things in no way profitable unto salvation.” (*S. Aug. ib. ii., 9, 20.*). Hence they did not seek to penetrate the secrets of nature, but rather described and dealt with things in more or less figurative language, or in terms which were commonly used at the time and which in many instances are in daily use at this day, even by the most eminent men of science.”

For a Catholic, this statement by the Supreme Teaching Authority of the Church utterly annihilates the idea of having recourse to the Bible to prove things about “the essential nature of the universe.” It is absolutely game over and the end of the road for your position. As Pope Pius XII stated in *Humani Generis* in 1950:

“But if the Supreme Pontiffs in their official documents purposely pass judgment on a matter up to that time under dispute, it is obvious that that matter, according to the mind and will of the same Pontiffs, cannot be any longer considered a question open to discussion among theologians.”

††† End of what you wrote from that section of your letter of August 18 †††

From what I understand, this section of the encyclical is the strongest, clearest, most compelling, and most persuasive proof and evidence you have presented in your support of heliocentrism. Furthermore, these three paragraphs from the encyclical present the root, crux, and core of the entire difference in understanding what Pope Leo XIII intended to convey in this encyclical – with the part you put in bold as the most essential of all:

“To understand how just is the rule here formulated we must remember, first, that the sacred writers, or to speak more accurately, the Holy Ghost “Who spoke by them, **did not intend to teach men these things (that is to say, the essential nature of the things of the visible universe), things in no way profitable unto salvation.**”

The Holy Father gave a direct quote from St. Augustine, a Father of the Church (*S. Aug. ib. ii., 9, 20*). The Pope’s subsequent words leave no doubt as to his meaning:

“Hence they (the sacred writers) *did not seek to penetrate the secrets of nature, but rather described and dealt with things in more or less figurative language*, or in terms which were commonly used at the time and which in many instances are in daily use at this day, even by the most eminent men of science.”

You expressed your conclusion:

For a Catholic, this statement by the Supreme Teaching Authority of the Church utterly annihilates the idea of having recourse to the Bible to prove things about “the essential nature of the universe.” It is absolutely game over and the end of the road for your position.

††† I will now insert a transcript from the voicemail you left on my system. †††

Transcript of voicemail

Patrick, this is ... I have been working on a letter to you on and off. I do not know how you have gotten completely sideways with the teachings of the Church. If you read and understood Pope Leo XIII in *Providentissimus Deus*, you would see he utterly destroys your entire thesis, utterly destroys it, utterly destroys it! He quotes a Father of the Church, St. Augustine. The crux of what he says is that it is given to the Church to interpret Scripture, not laypeople, not Protestants. It is given to the Church. Pope Leo XIII quotes St. Augustine when he says the Holy Ghost did not put information about the formation of the natural world of the universe into Sacred Scripture. That is the true interpretation of Sacred Scripture. There is nothing but problems between you and the Church on this issue! You can handle the science all you want, but you are sideways with Holy Mother Church. Completely sideways when you are telling people on your website publicly, that they are going to suffer eternal damnation if they do not accept this as a doctrine! You are in complete contradiction with the Fathers of the Church. Pope Leo XIII used St. Augustine as an example. Pope Leo XIII quoted him. Actually, he was saying the Holy Ghost did not put information in Sacred Scripture about the physical nature of the universe. The whole idea, the argument against Copernicus came from Protestants! Do you know how it came about? Martin Luther and Calvin because they wanted to cling to their own interpretation of Scripture! And St. Robert Bellarmine, if you read his letter, which is an opinion, states the teaching of the Church clearly. He said we would have to look at the true understanding of those Scriptures. And Pope Leo XIII did precisely that, precisely that! He said the Holy Ghost did not put that information into Sacred Scripture. That is the opinion of the Fathers of the Church, and that is the opinion of the Magisterium which has the last word in the interpretation of Sacred Scripture and the Fathers. St. Augustine is pretty clear, because Pope Leo XIII gave a direct quote from St. Augustine. You can call me and I can try and approach it with you patiently. But if you would read *Providentissimus Deus* alone, which is where you get your quote about the unanimous consent of the Fathers. If you read that encyclical humbly, trying to understand it you would say it destroys, utterly destroys this diabolical notion that geocentrism is an article of faith! He said the exact opposite! Those things do not pertain to eternal salvation. That is from St. Augustine, a Father of the Church. There goes your unanimous consent, and the Magisterium of the Church laid that out clearly. And everything the Church said subsequently; Pope Benedict XV, Pope Pius XII are directly in line with the Magisterium, and you are completely outside of that – completely in conflict with them, COMPLETELY! ... It has just become more and more apparent to me that you are sideways with the Magisterium of the Church! You have gone down the path that Protestants do, clinging to your own interpretation of Sacred Scripture. **THAT** is the diabolical plot against the Church – **geocentrism**! It does not matter! God bless you, Mary keep you.

††† End of the transcript from the voicemail. †††

You know precisely, precisely what Pope Leo XIII wrote and the exact meaning of his words. I do not know what Pope Leo XIII was referring to in that paragraph and sentence of his encyclical: “**(that is to say, the essential nature of the things of the visible universe), things in no way profitable unto salvation.**” Because you know precisely what he was referring to, you have a moral obligation in charity (to fulfill the Spiritual and Corporal works of Mercy and to love thy neighbor as thyself) to give me examples of precisely what Pope Leo XIII was referring to in that sentence of his encyclical.

By extension, Pope Benedict XV and Pope Pius XII command acceptance of the encyclical of Pope Leo XIII. Therefore, since you know precisely what the infallible Magisterium was referring to, you have an obligation to explain it to me. Give me 25 examples of what are: “**the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation**” that totally refutes St. Robert Bellarmine.

I do not doubt that what the Pope wrote is true. But I do not know what the Pope is referring to and therefore I do not understand how that sentence totally refutes St. Robert Bellarmine. Remember that in your correspondence you claim that St. Robert Bellarmine wrote a lie, taught that which was not true, and invented a falsehood when he wrote in his letter: “You would find that all [The Holy Fathers] agree in explaining literally (ad litteram) that, the sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe. Now consider whether in all prudence the Church could encourage giving to Scripture a sense contrary to the holy Fathers and all the Latin and Greek commentators. Nor may it be answered that this is not a matter of faith, for if it is not a matter of faith from the point of view of the subject matter, *it is on the part of the ones who have spoken.*”

{76} Do you agree that your understanding of the encyclical concludes with teaching that “**The essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation,**” made it impossible for all of the Holy Fathers to agree in explaining literally that, “*The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe*”?

{77} Do you agree that your belief leads to the conclusion that the Holy Fathers were prevented by Sacred Scripture from teaching: “*The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe*”?

{78} Do you agree that through the abjuration of the Catholic Church that you, and I, and every Catholic must accept as coming directly from the *Magisterium* of the Church, She teaches infallibly that the said **false doctrine** *that the sun is the centre of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves are repugnant to Holy Scripture*; and therefore, the Holy Fathers could unanimously teach the opposite of this condemned belief and **false doctrine** of heliocentrism and teach: “*The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe*”?

{79} Do you agree that using correct logic and right reason we know infallibly that the said **false doctrine** that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves are **repugnant to Holy Scripture**; that it follows infallibly that “The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe” – and that “**the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation,**” have nothing to do with disproving either of these two infallible truths?

Recollect, as you reminded us in the voicemail: “*The Holy Ghost did not put that information into Sacred Scripture.* Pope Leo XIII quotes St. Augustine when he says *the Holy Ghost did not put information about the formation of the natural world of the universe into Sacred Scripture.* That is the true interpretation of Sacred Scripture.”

{80} Do you agree that: “The true interpretation of Sacred Scripture” tells us that we do not know and do not need to concern ourselves nearly as much with what the Holy Ghost **DID NOT** put into Sacred Scripture as we do with what the Holy Ghost **DID** put into Sacred Scripture?

There are many things the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation.** Therefore, it is most logical that Pope Leo XIII could include this information in his encyclical. However, the crux of the discussion is not to worry about what the Holy Ghost did **NOT** write, but rather about what the Holy Ghost **DID** write! Pope Leo XIII, as the infallible teacher and highest authority of the *Magisterium*, reminded us in this same encyclical that whatever the Holy Ghost **DID** write is infallibly correct and 100% true. It is of the highest importance to always remember that whatever the Holy Ghost **DID** write we are bound to believe “On Divine and Catholic Faith”; as Saint Louis Marie De Montfort reminded us in *The Secret of the Rosary*.

What we are bound by the infallible Magisterium to believe is that: “It is **absolutely wrong** and forbidden, either to narrow inspiration to certain parts only of Holy Scripture, or to admit that the sacred writer has erred.”

What we are bound by the infallible Magisterium to believe is that: “All the books which the Church receives as sacred and canonical, are written wholly and entirely, with **all** their parts, at the dictation of the Holy Ghost; and so far is it from being possible that any error can co-exist with inspiration, that inspiration not only is

essentially incompatible with error, but excludes and rejects it as absolutely and necessarily as it is impossible that God Himself, the Supreme Truth, can utter that which is **not** true.”

What we are bound by the infallible Magisterium to believe is that: “by supernatural power, He so moved and impelled them to write – He was so present to them – that the things which He ordered, and those only, they, first, rightly understood, then willed faithfully to write down, and finally expressed in apt words and with **infallible truth**.”

{81} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And God made two great lights: a greater light to rule the day; and a lesser light to rule the night: and the stars,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{82} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*Who made the great lights: for his mercy endureth for ever,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{83} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*The sun to rule over the day: for his mercy endureth for ever,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{84} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*The moon and the stars to rule the night: for his mercy endureth for ever,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{85} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And all the time that Adam lived came to nine hundred and thirty years, and he died,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{86} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And behold thy cousin Elizabeth, she also hath conceived a son in her old age; and this is the sixth month with her that is called barren: Because no word shall be impossible with God,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{87} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And it came to pass that in those days there went out a decree from Caesar Augustus, that the whole world should be enrolled,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{88} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And she brought forth her firstborn son, and wrapped him up in swaddling clothes, and laid him in a manger; because there was no room for them in the inn,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{89} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And it came to pass, that, after three days, they found Him in the temple, sitting in the midst of the doctors, hearing them and asking them questions,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{90} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And shall deliver him to the Gentiles to be mocked, and scourged, and crucified, and the third day he shall rise again,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{91} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And at the ninth hour, Jesus cried out with a loud voice, saying: Eloi, Eloi, lamma sabacthani? Which is, being interpreted, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{92} Do you agree that not only is it profitable unto salvation to believe: “*And very early in the morning, the first day of the week, they come to the sepulchre, the sun being now risen,*” but we are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith that those words are infallibly true?

{93} Do you agree that each of the scriptural verses provided in the last twelve questions, as well as hundreds or thousands of others, are directly connected to time?

{94} Do you agree we can believe the definition of St. Augustine that, “Time is the passing of the elements”?

{95} Do you agree that each of the scriptural verses provided recently are all part of the prayers prayed during the liturgy of the Catholic Church?

{96} Do you agree that Catholic calendars and the Liturgical Year are all based upon the great feast of the Resurrection?

{97} Do you agree that the day of the feast of the Resurrection each year is determined by the day of the first full moon after the spring equinox when the Sun crosses the equator?

{98} Do you agree that it follows that the entire infallible liturgy, and thousands of scriptural verses, are all based on the movement of the Sun, Moon, and stars?

{99} Do you agree it is not only profitable unto salvation to believe all scriptural verses relating to the time of the birth, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ, but that it is necessary for salvation?

{100} Do you agree that believing all scriptural verses based on the movement of the Sun, Moon, and stars is profitable unto salvation?

{101} Do you agree it follows with correct logic that if it is in sacred Scripture or not in sacred Scripture, all things based upon the movement of the sun, moon, stars, or earth moving or not moving are not included in whatever it is that Pope Leo XIII has described as: “the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation” because the moving of the Sun and Moon are absolutely essential for the infallible liturgy and dogmas of the Catholic Church?

As stated above, I am not certain what Pope Leo XIII was referring to in that sentence of his encyclical. However, maybe it is true that it is in no way profitable unto salvation to know why the sun gives off heat but does not give off smoke.

Maybe it is true that it is in no way profitable unto salvation to know how the sun is in some ways similar to the burning bush seen by Moses. The bush continued to burn but it was not consumed. The sun has been burning for about 6,000 years but it never seems to get smaller.

However, **it is necessary unto salvation to believe the Catholic doctrine** found in Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6 that: “*The sun riseth, and goeth down, and returneth to his place: and there rising again, maketh his round by the south, and turneth again to the north: the spirit goeth forward surveying all places round about, and returneth to his circuits,*” for the infallible liturgy and dogmas of the Catholic Church are directly concerned with this movement of the Sun.

Let me repeat and stress:

There are many things the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation**. Therefore, it is most logical that Pope Leo XIII could include this information in his encyclical. However, the crux of the discussion is not to worry about what the Holy Ghost did **NOT** write, but rather about what the Holy Ghost **DID** write! Pope Leo XIII, as the infallible teacher and highest authority of the *Magisterium*, reminded us in this same encyclical that whatever the Holy Ghost **DID** write is infallibly correct and 100% true. It is of the highest importance to always remember that whatever the Holy Ghost **DID** write we are bound to believe “On Divine and Catholic Faith,” as Saint Louis Marie De Montfort reminded us in *The Secret of the Rosary*.

{102} Do you agree that: “It is absolutely wrong and forbidden, either to narrow inspiration to certain parts only of Holy Scripture, or to admit that the sacred writer has erred”?

{103} Do you agree that: “All the books which the Church receives as sacred and canonical, are written wholly and entirely, with all their parts, at the dictation of the Holy Ghost; and so far is it from being possible that any error can co-exist with inspiration, that inspiration not only is essentially incompatible with error, but excludes and rejects it as absolutely and necessarily as it is impossible that God Himself, the Supreme Truth, can utter that which is not true”?

{104} Do you agree that: “by supernatural power, He so moved and impelled them to write – He was so present to them – that the things which He ordered, and those only, they, first, rightly understood, then willed faithfully to write down, and finally expressed in apt words and with infallible truth”?

{105} Do you agree that Catholics must believe on Divine and Catholic Faith the movement of the Sun as described in Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6?

{106} Do you agree that Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6 succinctly describes the Earth as not moving and the Sun in motion (in other words, geocentrism)?

{107} Do you agree Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6 must be believed on Divine and Catholic Faith as infallibly true as part of the unerring Sacred Scripture?

{108} Do you agree that heliocentrism explains the Four Seasons by tilting the Earth on its imaginary axis at 66.6° while at the same time, they teach it takes exactly 86,400 seconds for the Earth to spin on its axis 360°?

{109} Do you agree that it has been explained in this letter that such a situation would have noontime at midnight and midnight at noontime during the winter solstice?

{110} Do you agree that heliocentrism teaches contrary to the known truth of the Catholic explanation of Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6?

In Tobias 11:9 we read the following words of the Holy Ghost: *“Then the dog, which had been with them in the way, ran before, and coming as if he had brought the news, shewed his joy by his fawning and wagging his tail.”*

{111} Do you agree that the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible in Tobias 11:9 many things about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation**; such as (1) Whether the dog was large or small, (2) Whether or not that dog was a German Shepherd, Collie, Rottweiler, Dalmatian, Labrador Retriever, nor even if it was a purebred, (3) How old the dog was, (4) What color the dog was or what color of eyes it had, (5) et cetera?

However, it must be believed on Divine and Catholic Faith as infallibly true as part of the unerring Sacred Scripture what the Holy Ghost **DID** write: (1) The dog was with them in the way, (2) The dog ran before them as if he had brought the news, (3) The dog shewed his joy by his fawning and wagging his tail.

In 1 Kings 17:4 we read the following words of the Holy Ghost: *“And there went out a man baseborn from the camp of the Philistines named Goliath, of Geth, whose height was six cubits and a span:”*

{112} Do you agree that the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible in 1 Kings 17:4 many things about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation**; such as (1) How much Goliath weighed, (2) Whether or not Goliath was a hairy man or smooth skin, (3) Whether or not Goliath was smooth-shaven or had a beard, (3) The color of the skin, the color of the eyes, the color of the hair of Goliath, (4) Whether or not there were other large men in the camp of the Philistines, (5) et cetera?

However, it must be believed on Divine and Catholic Faith as infallibly true as part of the unerring Sacred Scripture what the Holy Ghost **DID** write: (1) *There went out a man baseborn from the camp of the Philistines named Goliath,* (2) Goliath was of Geth, (3) the height of Goliath was six cubits and a span.

{113} Do you agree that the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible many things about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation**; such as (1) how many children Adam personally sired during his entire lifetime, (2) upon how many different places and parts of the earth Adam personally walked, (3) the height and weight of Adam, (4) what was the color of Adam’s skin, hair, and eyes, (5) et cetera?

However, it must be believed on Divine and Catholic Faith as infallibly true as part of the unerring Sacred Scripture what the Holy Ghost **DID** write and teach: (1) Adam was created directly by God as the first human, (2) Adam personally sired at least three children – Cain, Abel, and Seth, (3) *“And all the time that Adam lived came to nine hundred and thirty years, and he died.”*

In Josue 10:12-14 we read the following words of the Holy Ghost: *“Then Josue spoke to the Lord, in the day that he delivered the Amorrhite in the sight of the children of Israel, and he said before them: Move not, O sun, toward Gabaon, nor thou, O moon, toward the valley of Ajalon. And the sun and the moon stood still, till the people revenged themselves of their enemies. Is not this written in the book of the just? So the sun stood still in the midst of heaven, and hastened not to go down the space of one day. There was not before nor after so long a day, the Lord obeying the voice of a man, and fighting for Israel.”*

{114} Do you agree that the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible many things about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation**; such as (1) what language Josue used when he spoke to the Lord, (2) how many soldiers were with Josue, (3) how many were on the enemy side *till the people revenged themselves of their enemies*, (4) whether or not there was an eclipse on that part of the Earth, as I explained in the longer video, (5) et cetera?

{115} Do you agree that what must be believed on Divine and Catholic Faith as infallibly true as part of the unerring Sacred Scripture is what the Holy Ghost **DID** write?

{116} Do you agree that the unerring Sacred Scripture requires us on Divine and Catholic Faith to believe *the sun and the moon stood still* because they were in motion over that area near *Gabaon* and *the valley of Ajalon* until the command given by Josue?

{117} Do you agree that the unerring Sacred Scripture requires us on Divine and Catholic Faith to believe *the sun stood still in the midst of heaven, and hasted not to go down the space of one day*?

{118} Do you agree that the unerring Sacred Scripture requires us on Divine and Catholic Faith to believe *there was not before nor after so long a day*; and therefore, not one of the six days of creation lasted longer than the day that Josue commanded the Sun and Moon to stop?

{119} Do you agree that the unerring Sacred Scripture requires us on Divine and Catholic Faith to believe *the Lord obeyed the voice of a man* who commanded of the Sun and Moon to move not rather than command the Earth to stop spinning on its axis and orbiting the Sun?

{120} Do you agree that if the Lord did not obey the voice of a man (as expressly recorded by the Holy Ghost in Sacred Scripture) namely, Josue who commanded the Sun and Moon to stop; then it leaves things open to doubt that the Lord obeys the voice of a priest when he pronounces any of the words that are the Form of the sacraments?

You quote from *Providentissimus Deus*:

“...*authoritatively proposed by the Church* and should be held as the supreme law; for, seeing that the same God is the author both of the sacred books and of the doctrine committed to the Church, it is clearly impossible that any teaching can, by legitimate means, be extracted from the former (the sacred books) which shall, in any respect, be at variance with the latter (the doctrine of the Church). Hence it follows that all interpretation is foolish or false which either makes the sacred writers disagree one with another *or is opposed to the doctrine of the Church*.” (para. 13 of the online version)

{121} Do you agree the heliocentrism understanding and interpretation of Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6 is foolish and false because it is stupid, senseless, silly, ludicrous, and can never happen; and the lying, insane teaching of heliocentrism is directly opposed to the doctrine of the Church explaining the eighth commandment?

{122} Do you agree that many interpretations of Sacred Scripture used by people attempting to explain heliocentrism are directly opposed to the doctrine of the Church concerning *bearing false witness* – telling lies and using deceitfulness?

{123} Do you agree Ecclesiastes 1:5, 6 is not included in whatever Pope Leo XIII was referring to when he wrote: “The Holy Ghost Who spoke by them, did not intend to teach men these things (that is to say, the essential nature of the things of the visible universe), things in no way profitable unto salvation.”

Maybe it is true that it is in no way profitable unto salvation to know why different humans have different blood types, although we all came from just one man.

Maybe it is true that it is in no way profitable unto salvation to know why children have different blood types than their parents.

Maybe it is true that it is in no way profitable unto salvation to know why humans have different colored skin from white to black, although we all came from just one man.

Maybe it is true that it is in no way profitable unto salvation to know why some people are very short and others giants, although our genes all come from one source.

{124} Do you agree that nevertheless, we must believe the dogma explained by Sacred Scripture and the Catholic Church that all human beings are descendants of Adam?

Those who believe in, promote, and defend heliocentrism make mere statements as your proof “*that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves.*” However, a mere statement is not proof.

I have a book titled: *St. Therese Returns, a Defense of Fundamental Catholic Teaching* by Albert H. Dolan, Order of Carmel. Under Chapter 2, page 18, there is something that is important to understand:

“By way of preliminary let me formulate some principles which will serve as excellent guides whenever in your reading you encounter any objection against religion in any of its departments. First principle: The most important of these guides is this: It is one of the first principles of logic of correct thinking, that mere statement is not proof.”

I wish that all my auditors who read a great deal would try to engrave that principle upon their memories. ‘Mere statement is not proof.’ Modern journalism and current literature abound in statements for which no proof is offered, and if that principle is kept in mind it will guide you safely over nine-tenths of the irreligious material you meet in your reading.

I shall not apologize for repeating that principle often during this series of talks. Just what do I mean by ‘Mere statement is not proof’? Well, let me illustrate. In my talk last week I proposed some twenty objections to Christianity in the form of statements taken from the New York Press, and they offered no proof for any except one of those statements. To say, ‘the days of dogma are over’ proves nothing. It is a mere statement without proof. I could encounter the statement with another contradicting it, ‘the days of dogma are not over.’ Again, the following statement, ‘the idea of God is an antique—the notion of God originally developed from a primitive fear of the unknown. Psychoanalysis and behaviorism have demonstrated that man is not responsible for his actions.’ All these are statements and merely statements proving exactly nothing. But there is one type of smart statement which recurs continually against which permit me particularly to warn you. And that is a statement beginning with the words, ‘no intelligent man nowadays believes.’ Modern unbelievers are very fond of this phrase. If they wish to indicate their own private disbelief they say not, ‘I do not believe in creation or in Hell or immortality.’ No, they say, ‘no intelligent man nowadays believes in creation.’ Or they vary it a bit by saying, ‘every intelligent man knows that man’s responsibilities for his actions has been long since disproved.’ Or they say, ‘the modern trained mind cannot accept the miracles of Christ.’ Do not be disturbed by such statements, my dear friends. They are all violations of the first principle of logic. Violations of the first rule of the art of thinking, namely, that mere statement is not proof. The answer to all such objections is the same, namely, prove your statement. When a man says, ‘no intelligent man nowadays believes this or that.’ The answer is, ‘you mean YOUR mind cannot believe. For it is simply not true that every trained mind disbelieves. On the contrary, I can give you a formidable list of highly intelligent men of giant intellect who DO believe what you deny.’ So much for the very important principle to be remembered in all our reading, ‘mere statement is not proof.’ So when people make mere statements, either verbally or at least mentally, say ‘prove it, prove your statement.’”

Therefore, please prove your statement “*that the sun is the center of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the center, and moves.*”

In your defense of heliocentrism, you quote from the encyclical of Pope Leo XIII:

18. There can never, indeed, be any real discrepancy between the theologian and the physicist, as long as each confines himself within his own lines, and both are careful, as St. Augustine warns us, “not to make rash assertions, or to assert what is not known as known.”

{125} Do you agree that here Pope Leo XIII uses the words of St. Augustine to condemn heliocentrism? As explained previously in this letter, the physicist for heliocentrism does nothing but “make rash assertions”, tell multiple lies, and “assert what is not known” as if it were the known truth.

Example #1): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Moon is traveling approximately 2,000 mph in its elliptical orbit around the Earth although the Earth is going 66,600 mph.

{126} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense explained in the above paragraph can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and please prove your statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Moon can travel at 2,000 mph and make elliptical circles around the Earth going 66,600 mph.

In your letter of July 16, you wrote:

In other words, if we adhere to the *infallible teaching Church*, we know that whatever contradicts Her teachings is not right reason. On the other hand, if we allow our reason to be guided by Faith we have a firm foundation for its proper use.

I will take your true teaching, and reverse it to add another truth: “Whatever is *not right reason* contradicts the teachings of the infallible Church.” Remember, the *infallible teaching Church* informed us, “Nothing but the truth should be taught.” “Truth never contradicts truth.” “In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin!”

Example # 2): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Moon goes in the opposite direction of the Earth for many days of every month during a so-called elliptical orbit around the Earth. Nevertheless, heliocentrism teaches that the Moon and the Earth remain about the same distance apart all those days of the month while going in opposite directions.

{127} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense explained in the above paragraph can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Earth and Moon can be separating from each other at the combined speed of 69,600 mph, but nevertheless always remain the same distance apart.

Remember, the *infallible teaching Church* informed us, “Nothing but the truth should be taught.” “Truth never contradicts truth.” “In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin!” “Whatever is *not right reason* contradicts the teachings of the Catholic Church.”

Example #3): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Moon orbits the Earth faster than the Earth spins out from under it. At the same time, heliocentrism teaches that the Moon takes 30 days to make one orbit around the very center of the Earth; and a point on the surface of the Earth would make that same orbit in 24 hours – and therefore 30 times faster than the Moon is going around the Earth.

{128} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense explained in the above paragraph can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Earth goes 30 times faster than the Moon but nevertheless, the Moon is going faster than the Earth.

Remember, the *infallible teaching Church* informed us, “Nothing but the truth should be taught.” “Truth never contradicts truth.” “In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin!” “Whatever is *not right reason* contradicts the teachings of the Catholic Church.”

Example #4): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the heliocentric model explains correctly the Four Seasons of the Year by having the globe tilted on its imaginary axis at 66.6°. But it

has been clearly demonstrated in the video and in this letter that such an explanation defies all logic because then everything is 180° out of phase and noon time is midnight and midnight is noon time.

{129} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense that the Earth would be in total darkness at noon time, and on the contrary, the Earth would be in total sunshine at midnight can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that it is noon time when that part of the Earth is on the exact opposite side of the sun, and it is midnight on Earth when that part of the Earth is directly underneath the sun.

{130} Do you agree that the statements, teachings, and beliefs of heliocentrism are contrary to right reason, and therefore heliocentrism is contrary to the teachings of the Catholic Church?

Example #5): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that during the total solar eclipse on August 21, 2017, the shadow took 91 minutes to travel from the Pacific Ocean to the Atlantic Ocean. At the same time, heliocentrism teaches that the Earth was traveling 1,110 miles per minute around the sun going counterclockwise. However, the Moon was traveling approximately 2,000 mph in the opposite direction around the sun. Consequently, simple math the child would learn in the lower grades of grade school shows that the Earth and Moon would pass each other in less than three minutes, and it would be impossible for the shadow to require 91 minutes to travel from the Pacific Ocean to the Atlantic Ocean.

{131} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense taught by the heliocentric magicians can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that it takes the Earth 91 minutes to travel 3,000 miles while at the same time, the Earth is traveling at 1,110 miles per MINUTE! The definition of a **magician** from a dictionary: “*A person who has the power to make impossible things happen. A magician is an entertainer who is skilled in producing illusions.*”

Example #6): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Sun is approximately 109 times larger than the Earth. It has already been proven that the Earth is not tilted on its imaginary axis at 66.6°. Consequently, if the Sun was 109 times larger than the Earth which is not tilted on its axis, the light coming from the Sun would completely light up the Arctic Circle and Antarctic Circle 365 days a year.

{132} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense taught by the heliocentric magicians that the Sun is 109 times larger than the Earth can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Sun is 109 times larger than the Earth which is not tilted on its imaginary axis. Explain your mere statements and prove why such a large sun does not entirely light up the Arctic Circle and Antarctic Circle at the same time every day of the year.

Example #7): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Sun is approximately 93 million miles from the Earth. It is a known truth that on the day of the winter solstice, the light from the Sun comes straight down to the Earth at the Tropic of Capricorn at a 90° angle and a 6-foot level standing straight up at the Tropic of Capricorn does not cast a shadow on any side of it. It is a known truth that on the same day of the winter solstice, the light from the Sun will cast a 6-foot shadow from a 6-foot level standing straight up at the Tropic of Cancer. Consequently, the light from the Sun shines on the Earth at the Tropic of Cancer at a 45° angle on the day of the winter solstice. Therefore, we have a triangle with one angle at 90° and the other two angles at 45°. As a result, the laws of triangles prove that the Sun cannot be any further from the Earth than it is

from the Tropic of Cancer to the Tropic of Capricorn. We will assume that distance is approximately what is stated on the Internet, namely, 3,260 miles. Accordingly, the laws of triangles prove that the Sun is approximately 3,260 miles and not 93 million miles from the Earth.

{133} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense taught by the heliocentric magicians that the Sun is 93 million miles from the Earth can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Sun is 93 million miles from the Earth which is not tilted on its axis and nevertheless, the light from the Sun casts a shadow at a 45° angle at the Tropic of Cancer and a 90° angle at the Tropic of Capricorn during the winter solstice.

Example #8): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Moon is approximately 240,000 miles from the Earth. However, as explained above in Example #7, the laws of triangles prove the Sun is approximately 3,260 miles from the Earth. It is a known truth that during the solar eclipse, the Moon is closer than the Sun to the Earth. As a result, every honest person will admit that the Moon is closer than the Sun to the Earth. Accordingly, it is just another lie of the heliocentric magicians to say the Moon is 240,000 miles from the Earth.

{134} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense that the Moon is 240,000 miles from the Earth can be true; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Moon is approximately 240,000 miles from the Earth.

Example #9): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Moon makes an elliptical orbit around the Earth. As shown in the video during the long movie <https://player.vimeo.com/video/847618485>, during the part when everything was drawn to scale sliding along on the long brown paper, it provided 100% proof that the Moon would leave a sine wave pattern – even provided that it was orbiting around the Earth according to the heliocentric hypothesis.

{135} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense that the Moon can make an elliptical orbit around the Earth according to the heliocentric hypothesis because nothing can make an elliptical orbit around another moving object and remain the same distance from it at all times, and return to its place of origin – which is necessary to make an elliptical orbit and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Moon makes an elliptical orbit around the Earth while at the same time, the Earth is orbiting around the Sun.

Example #10): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Moon travels at a constant speed all of the time as it orbits around the Earth that is orbiting around the Sun. During the First Quarter moon phase, heliocentrism has the Moon 240,000 miles behind the Earth during their combined orbit around the Sun. During the Third Quarter moon phase, heliocentrism has the Moon 240,000 miles ahead of the Earth during their combined orbit around the Sun.

{136} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense can be true that the Moon travels at a constant speed although it travels 480,000 miles more than the Earth the first 15 days after First Quarter, and 480,000 miles less than the Earth the last 15 days until it reaches the next First Quarter of the

following month; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason and that the Moon travels at a constant speed while at the same time it greatly changes its speeds according to the heliocentric hypothesis.

Recall your own statement: “If we adhere to the *infallible teaching Church*, we know that whatever contradicts Her teachings is not right reason.” Therefore, “Whatever is *not right reason* contradicts the teachings of the infallible Church.”

Example #11): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Earth spins on its axis 360° every 24 hours and that our eyes can see a galaxy 2.6 million light-years away without the use of a telescope. Most people who are not physically blind and are still able to physically move around can go to the east side of an awning and check for themselves that the average time for stars to travel the distance of their own diameter is approximately 20 seconds (as observed from Safford Arizona). The article at this hyperlink <https://jmjsite.com/could-not-focus-on-a-star-if-the-earth-spins.pdf> proves that even a very close star (according to the heliocentric hypothesis) would pass over the awning in less than 1 millionth of one second!

{137} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense can be true that it takes 20 seconds to go over the awning, but if the Earth was spinning on its axis the star would not be seen for even 1 millionth of one second; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason as can be seen by all who ponder on the truth that the stars take 20 seconds to pass over the awning, but simple math proves they would pass over the awning in less than 1 millionth of one second if the Earth spins on its axis 360° every 24 hours.

Example #12): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that because the Earth is spinning on its axis, “The distance to the center of the Earth from the poles is only 3,949 miles (6,345 km). The earth is not uniformly circular, therefore, if you went straight to its center from a point on the equator it would be 3,963 miles or 14 miles (22.5 km) further than if you started from a pole location.” This means that a ship leaving Barlow Alaska will have to climb/sail up a mountain of water 14 miles (73,920 feet) tall in the same waters of the oceans before it arrives at the equator!

{138} Do you agree that you, Rev. William Roberts, and all others who defend heliocentrism should admit that it is not known and will never be known how the impossible idiotic super stupid nonsense taught by heliocentrism can be true that the surface of the oceans at the equator are 73,920 feet higher in elevation than the oceans at the North Pole; and that if you continue to defend heliocentrism you sin most grievously against the Holy Ghost because you resist the known truth?

Therefore, fulfill your moral obligation and prove your mere statement is the truth according to right reason that there is such a thing as gravity which pulls and holds the water at the surface of the oceans at the equator 73,920 feet higher in elevation than the surface of the oceans at the North Pole. We are told the top of Mount Everest at 29,032 feet above sea level is the tallest place on earth. However, the extremely idiotic super stupid nonsense of heliocentrism has the ocean 44,888 feet higher than the top of Mount Everest! Do you not understand how badly you violate the eighth commandment and repeatedly call Jesus Christ a liar when you keep promoting the horrible lies of heliocentrism? You lose the ability to realize that the oceans are level and therefore not circular! Please explain to me how the oceans are at sea level (and therefore basically all at the same level) when the ocean at the North Pole is 14 miles below the ocean at the equator.

Example #13): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that by definitions found in dictionaries, the earth is a sphere in shape.

Let us review again the definitions from dictionaries – that I presented in the video.

Definition of *ELEVATION*: height especially above sea LEVEL. 2) The height above the LEVEL of the sea. 3) The ALTITUDE of a place above sea level or ground level.

Definition of *LEVEL*: having no part **higher** than another; having a **flat** or even surface. 2) Being in a plane parallel to the plane of the horizon; HORIZONTAL.

Definition of *HORIZONTAL*: at right angles to the vertical; **parallel** to level ground. 2) Flat or level: a horizontal position. 3) Near, on, or **parallel to the horizon**. 4) Of or relating to the horizon.

Definition of *ALTITUDE*: Height above sea LEVEL on Earth.

Definition of *EVEN*: level; **flat**; without surface irregularities; smooth. 2) On the same level; in the same plane or line; parallel.

Definition of *CURVE*: a continuously bending line, without angles. 2) The act or extent of curving. 3) Mathematics = a collection of points whose coordinates are continuous functions of a single independent variable.

Do you see the great contradictions that everybody is facing?

{139} Do you agree that it is an absolute truth that the oceans are not at sea level, having no part **higher** than another if part of the oceans at their surfaces are 14 miles higher than other parts of the oceans?

{140} Do you agree that they are resisting the known truth and sinning against the Holy Ghost who teach that part of the oceans are 14 miles higher than other parts of the ocean; but nevertheless, they are all at sea level having no part higher than another?

{141} Do you agree that nothing can be level, even, and flat if it is curving around a sphere; and consequently, the oceans cannot be level, even, and flat if they are curving around a sphere?

{142} Do you agree that elevation and altitude have no meaning for communicating when two objects 14 miles difference in height are considered to be at the same elevation and the same altitude above sea LEVEL?

{143} Do you agree that one of the universal truths by which Almighty God governs the world, and by which mankind communicates, is the fact that nothing can be flat, level, and straight; while at the same time it is curved, rounded, and circular?

{144} Do you agree that the horizon could not be PARALLEL to the **level** water in the ocean if the horizon itself is a CURVED round ball/globe?

{145} Do you agree that using the definitions by which God governs the world, and by which mankind communicates, all places at sea level must be PARALLEL to all other parts at sea level – and not curved, rounded, and circular?

{146} Do you agree that by definition, because the oceans are at sea level; no part of the sea (ocean) is curved, rounded, and circular or higher than the other because it is FLAT and EVEN; in the same plane or line, and PARALLEL to all the places at sea level?

{147} Do you agree all are resisting the known truth and sinning against the Holy Ghost who refuse to accept that water seeks its own level according to the definitions used by mankind?

{148} Do you agree that because water seeks its own level, a body of water has (1) no part higher than another; (2) water has a **FLAT** or even surface; (3) water is in a plane parallel to the plane of the horizon; HORIZONTAL; and therefore water in oceans, lakes, and places like the Suez Canal is by definition never curved, rounded, and circular?

“The 120-mile-long Suez Canal is an artificial sea-LEVEL waterway in Egypt, connecting the Mediterranean Sea to the Red Sea through the Isthmus of Suez and dividing Africa and Asia.” (From an online definition of the Suez Canal.)

“God can never give us a right to tell a lie, nor can he counsel, much less command or approve of lying!”

“It is never allowed to have recourse to lies or deception. This commandment forbids lies of all kinds. In a word, lies of every sort are prohibited, especially those that cause grave injury to anyone, while most impious of all is a lie uttered against or regarding religion. It is the duty of a Christian to suffer any inconvenience rather than utter a falsehood. God has forbidden lying as sinful, so that even a jocose lie is never lawful under any circumstances. Once and for all, to speak deliberately against one’s knowledge is a lie, and that is never lawful, no matter what advantage may be derived from it.”

Example #14): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that an airplane flying across the Gulf of Mexico is flying around a sphere that is curved, rounded, and circular while at the same time it is flying over a body water that by definition is as level, **FLAT**, and straight as the top and bottom of a well-built and accurate carpenter's level.



Do an experiment. Take an open container that has its top rim at an equal distance from and parallel to its bottom. In other words, with the container setting on a level table, the top of the container would also be parallel and level to the table. Fill that container about three-quarters full with water. Next, set a well-built and accurate carpenter's level on top of the container, thus showing and proving that the top and bottom of the carpenter's level are parallel to the water below. After that fill a glass of water about three-quarters full and draw a ring around the glass at the level of the water. Set your glass of water on the top of the carpenter's level.

{149} Do you agree that (1) the water in your glass, (2) the top and bottom of the carpenter's level, and (3) the water in the container are all parallel, level, straight, and **FLAT**?

{150} Do you agree that as you slowly and carefully slide the glass of water back and forth across the top of the carpenter's level, the water in your glass always remains level, straight, **FLAT**, and parallel to the water in the container; and therefore, neither the water in your glass nor the water in the container is curved, rounded, or circular?

While living in Ecuador, I flew back and forth across the Gulf of Mexico quite a few times. After the airplane reaches its cruising altitude the flight attendants offer the passengers some type of a snack including their choice of a glass of water, juice, or soda pop. Did you ever notice that the water in your glass sitting on the fold-down service tray remains perfectly level unless you hit air turbulence? Consequently, if you have a window seat while flying from Atlanta Georgia to Ecuador you will find that the airplane remains the same distance above the water in the Gulf of Mexico. If you follow the liturgy of the Catholic Church and are ever pondering on reasonable things, you know that the water in the glass on your fold-down service tray remains level, and it is sliding over the Gulf of Mexico, so to speak, the same as the glass of water on your carpenter's level slid long over the container of water below.

{151} Do you agree that as the airplane flies across the Gulf of Mexico and the glass of water sitting on the fold-down service tray remains *level*, the water in the glass always remains *level*, straight, **FLAT**, and parallel to the water in the Gulf of Mexico; and therefore, neither the water in your glass nor the water in the Gulf of Mexico is curved, rounded, or circular?

Guayaquil Ecuador, where many large ships come and go, is 152 miles South of the equator. Let us go on another airplane ride from Barlow Alaska to Guayaquil Ecuador. 20 to 30 minutes after takeoff the airplane is at its cruising altitude of 5 miles above the surface of the ocean at 26,400 feet, and the flight attendants pass out a bull's-eye level (that is to say, a glass of water or juice) to the hundred and some people on board. We are sitting by the window and keep looking back and forth from the level water in our glass and down to the ocean below. We are unable to detect any difference in the height and distance of the airplane above the ocean.

{152} Do you agree that it would be a violation of the Commandments of lying and bearing false witness against the known truth to claim that we were flying up a 14-mile-high mountain of water around the curve of a sphere, although the water in the glass on the fold-down tray remained just as level as it would be sitting on top of a very accurate and well-constructed carpenter's level on top of our dining room table at home?

"He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost."

{153} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church teaches infallibly "truth never contradicts truth"; and because the Catholic Church teaches infallibly "between faith and reason no true dissension can ever exist"; and because "right reasoning demonstrates the basis of faith"; and because in human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin; and because reason teaches that "*water seeks its own level*"; and because they are resisting the known truth and sinning against the Holy Ghost who refuse to accept the TRUTH that water seeks its own level

according to the definitions used by mankind; and because “nothing but the truth should be taught”; and because water seeks its own level, a body of water has (1) no part higher than another; (2) water has a **FLAT** or even surface; (3) water is in a plane parallel to the plane of the horizon; HORIZONTAL; and therefore water in oceans and lakes are by definition never curved, rounded, and circular?

Since “The magisterium has the last word,” hear the magisterium. Pope Leo XIII:

“There can be no doubt that truth alone should imbue the minds of men, therefore nothing but the truth should be taught! What the Church cannot possibly do is to declare satisfactory a school regime based upon the principle that anybody should be free to teach what it pleases him to call truth, even though it may be error... A widely spread conviction may happen to be FALSE, and we all know that it is so, only we do not like to think that this applies to the opinions of our own times.”


{154} Do you agree that what the Church cannot possibly do is to declare satisfactory the principle that anybody should be free to teach the outlandish lie that water is level, **FLAT**, and straight at the same time the same water is circular, rounded, and curved around the sphere?

Explain how you can believe the infallible teaching Magisterium in *Denzinger* # 738: “Truth never contradicts Truth,” although you also teach the outlandish lie that water is level, **FLAT**, and straight at the same time the same water is circular, rounded, and curved around the sphere.

Because “nothing but the truth should be taught,” explain how we live on a sphere where all of its oceans are always at sea level. Definition of *LEVEL*: having no part higher than another; having a **flat** or even surface. 2) Being in a plane parallel to the plane of the horizon; HORIZONTAL.

Example #15): Heliocentrism asserts, declares, proclaims, states, and alleges that the Earth is shaped like a ball.

The Public Land Survey System map shows the Earth to be covered by almost perfect SQUARES like graft paper. This is how it works. Surveyors divide the land up into SQUARES, called sections of land. They combine the square sections into square townships. As far as I have been able to find out, the entire Earth that has been surveyed is divided up into sections of land of square miles or square meters – depending upon whether you use a decimal system or the metric system.



{155} Do you agree that it is reasonable to believe the Public Land Survey System map represents how the land is surveyed and divided – and as the map shows that is into SQUARES?


{156} Do you agree that fence lines, power lines, and roads run more or less parallel for mile after mile and remain separated according to the SQUARE miles and the survey locations shown on the map?

This is how things happen in the real world, not the make-believe world of the magicians. I do not have any oil rights, and I do not expect to ever profit even a dime from any oil well. Nevertheless, investors and workers are drilling oil wells up in Wyoming on or near the land where my grandfather, father, and mother homesteaded. Somehow the oil company has my name and they send maps they are required to produce showing all of the sections of land divided up into SQUARES!

Go to your own County assessor’s office in person or online and look at the maps. Do not be surprised if you find that the entire county is divided up into SQUARE sections of land. County after county and state after state show maps of SQUARE sections of land!

St. Thomas Aquinas lists and distinguishes the six kinds of sins against the Holy Ghost, viz., despair, presumption, impenitence, obstinacy, resisting the known truth, and envy of our brother's spiritual good.

{157} Do you agree it is the known truth that it is impossible to cover a ball with SQUARE stickers without trimming, cutting, creasing, or overlapping any of them?



{158} Do you agree that the Public Land Survey System map proves the ball of heliocentrism is another one of its multiple lies, trickery, and deceitful representations of violating the Commandments and teaching contrary to Sacred Scripture?

Neither I nor any person I have talked to about it, have ever seen any airplane – spraying chemtrails or other ones – come up or go down over the horizon! They fly in straight lines for hundreds of miles, and even with powerful telescopes or binoculars, we do not see them come up or go down over the horizon. According to mathematics and geometry, that seems very impossible if the Earth is a ball 25,000 miles in circumference. Similarly, powerful zoom cameras prove that ships are sailing on **FLAT** water. After ships apparently sail over the curve, a powerful zoom camera brings them completely back into view. It is extremely obvious that we are told many lies by those who promote heliocentrism, and by those who teach we are living on a ball.

It is a fact that people worship the ball 🏀 🏈 🏉 🏊 🏏 🏐 🏑 🏒 🏓 🏔 and instead of saying it is the fifth Sunday after Epiphany, it is known as Super Bowl Sunday!

Let us consult the Teaching Magisterium. *Divinum Illud Munus* - Pope Leo XIII – 1897.

Again, since He is the Spirit of Truth, whosoever faileth by weakness or ignorance may perhaps have some excuse before Almighty God; but he who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it, sins most grievously against the Holy Ghost. In our days this sin has become so frequent that those dark times seem to have come which were foretold by St. Paul, in which men, blinded by the just judgment of God, should take falsehood for truth, and should believe in “the prince of this world,” who is a liar and the father thereof, as a teacher of truth: “God shall send them the operation of error, to believe lying (2 Thess. ii., 10).

You stated, “The magisterium has the last word.” Hear the magisterium – Pope Pius IX, *Ubi Primum*:

“Nothing can ever pass away from the words of Jesus Christ, nor can anything be changed which the Catholic Church received from Christ to guard, protect, and preach.”

“Thou knowest the commandments: Thou shalt not kill: Thou shalt not commit adultery: Thou shalt not steal: Thou shalt not bear false witness: Honour thy father and mother.” (Words of Jesus Christ, Saint Luke 18:20)

“Jesus saith to him: I am the way, and the truth, and the life” (St. John 14:6).

“Who said to him: Why asketh thou me concerning good? One is good, God. But if thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments. (Words of Jesus Christ, St. Matthew 19:17.)

{159} Do you agree that the infallible Magisterium teaches: “Nothing can ever pass away from the words of Jesus Christ”?

{160} Do you agree that the infallible Magisterium teaches: “Nothing can be changed which the Catholic Church received from Christ”?

{161} Do you agree that because nothing can pass away or be changed of the words of Jesus Christ, “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*”; the meaning of the eighth commandment explained in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* will always be true and therefore, no one will ever be approved by God or the Church to tell the lies, falsehoods, and fabrications that everyone does who supports, defends, and teaches heliocentrism?

Pope Leo XIII teaches infallibly in the encyclical, *Providentissimus Deus*:

“That such was the purpose of God in giving the Scripture The Holy Fathers, We say, are of supreme authority, whenever they all interpret in one and the same manner any text of the Bible, as pertaining to the doctrine of faith or morals; for their unanimity clearly evinces that such interpretation has come down from the Apostles as a matter of Catholic faith.”

{162} Do you agree that “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*” is a text of the Bible pertaining to the doctrine of faith and morals on the commandments?

{163} Do you agree that The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of Jesus Christ explaining the doctrine of faith and morals on the commandments, as found in St. Luke 18:20?

{164} Do you agree that all of the Fathers of the Church are unanimous in teaching the Catholic Church received from Christ the commandment: “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*”?

{165} Do you agree that it has come down to us as a matter of Catholic faith as explained by the unanimous interpretation and teaching of the Holy Fathers that we must accept as an infallible dogma of the Church that Jesus Christ spoke the truth when He said, “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*”?

{166} Do you agree the commandment, “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*” infallibly forbids teaching that the heliocentric hypothesis is true or will ever become true because heliocentrism teaches multiple lies and not one of their major teachings is the truth?

{167} Do you agree that you taught in your correspondence that St. Robert Bellarmine wrote a lie, taught that which was not true, and invented a falsehood when he wrote in his letter: “You would find that all [The Holy Fathers] agree in explaining literally (ad litteram) that, the sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe. Now consider whether in all prudence the Church could encourage giving to Scripture a sense contrary to the holy Fathers and all the Latin and Greek commentators. Nor may it be answered that this is not a matter of faith, for if it is not a matter of faith from the point of view of the subject matter, *it is on the part of the ones who have spoken*”?

Remember: 1) All of the Fathers of the Church are unanimous in teaching the Catholic Church received from Jesus Christ the commandment: “*Thou shalt not bear false witness.*” 2) No one can logically explain heliocentrism without bearing false witness. 3) The *Magisterium* of the Catholic Church has juridically forbidden every Catholic to hold, defend, or teach in any manner, the said **false doctrine** *that the sun is the centre of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves* because it is repugnant to Holy Scripture, and Galileo was made to abjure and profess that the said false doctrine was already condemned at the time of his formal abjuration with his hand on the Bible and God as his witness. 4) On February 24, 1616, the Holy Office issued the doctrine of the infallible Church. It taught the doctrine: “All agree that this proposition [*The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion*] is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and formally HERETICAL because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians.”

{168} Do you agree because all of the Holy Fathers are unanimous in teaching: “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*”, that none of them bear false witness and teach the said **false doctrine** “*that the sun is the centre of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves*”?

{169} Do you agree because all of the Holy Fathers are unanimous in teaching: “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*,” it follows with right reason and correct logic that all of the Holy Fathers bear true witness and are unanimous in teaching: “The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe”?

{170} Do you agree that Saint Robert Bellarmine did not write a lie, nor teach that which was not true, or invent a falsehood when he wrote in his letter: “All [The Holy Fathers] agree in explaining literally (ad litteram) that, the sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe”?

{171} Do you agree that right reason makes us conclude that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly the Earth is the center of the universe since the Holy Fathers, the Catholic Church, Jesus Christ, and the Holy Ghost teach

“*Thou shalt not bear false witness*” and therefore, no person in the world will ever have permission from God or the Catholic Church to teach the multiple lies associated with the belief of heliocentrism?

{172} Do you agree The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost, “*Thou shalt not kill,*” to mean what they say and say what they mean?

{173} Do you agree The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost, “*Thou shalt not commit adultery,*” to mean what they say and say what they mean?

{174} Do you agree The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost, “*Thou shalt not steal,*” to mean what they say and say what they mean?

{175} Do you agree The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost, “*Thou shalt not bear false witness,*” to mean what they say and say what they mean?

{176} Do you agree The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost, “*Honour thy father and mother,*” to mean what they say and say what they mean?

{177} Do you agree The Holy Fathers of the Church all interpret in one and the same manner the words of the Holy Ghost, [as found in the Liturgy in the Divine Office] “*Who hast founded the earth upon its own bases: it shall not be moved for ever and ever,*” to mean what they say and say what they mean?

On the Sunday within the Octave of Christmas, the infallible liturgy teaches in the offertory verse: “*God hath established the world, which shall **not** be moved. [Deus firmavit orbem terrae, qui **non** commovbitur.]*”

The Magisterium teaches in *Mediator Dei*, Encyclical of Pope Pius XII on the Sacred Liturgy November 20, 1947.

“In the sacred liturgy we profess the Catholic faith explicitly and openly ... The entire liturgy, therefore, has the Catholic faith for its content, inasmuch as it bears public witness to the faith of the Church... Hence the well-known and venerable maxim, “*Legem credendi lex statuat supplicandi*” – let the rule for prayer determine the rule of belief... the liturgy is also a profession of eternal truths, and subject, as such, to the supreme teaching authority of the Church, it can supply proofs and testimony, quite clearly, of no little value, towards the determination of a particular point of Christian doctrine.”

You said the infallible *Magisterium* has the last word, and it teaches:

- 1) In the sacred liturgy we profess the Catholic faith explicitly and openly.
- 2) The entire liturgy, therefore, has the Catholic faith for its content.
- 3) Let the rule for prayer determine the rule of belief.
- 4) The liturgy is also a profession of eternal truths.
- 5) In the liturgy we pray: “*The earth shall not be moved for ever and ever.*”
- 6) In the liturgy we pray: “*God has established the world, which shall not be moved.*”

{178} Do you agree that the infallible *Magisterium* teaches: “*The earth shall not be moved for ever and ever*”?

{179} Do you agree that because the infallible *Magisterium* teaches the Earth shall not be moved for ever and ever, the Catholic Church teaches infallibly that the Earth is the center of the universe?

From: *The Great Means of Salvation and of Perfection* by Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori

The Celebrated Text of Saint Paul

On the other hand, both the Scriptures and all the Fathers assure us that God sincerely and really wishes the salvation of all men and the conversion of all sinners, as long as they are in this world. For this we have, first of all, the express text of Saint Paul: “*Who will have all men to be saved, and to come to the knowledge of the truth*”. The sentence of the Apostle is absolute and indicative – “*Who will have all men to be saved, and to come to the knowledge of the truth*” – 1 Timothy 2:4. These words in their natural sense declare that God truly wills all men to be saved; and it is a certain rule, received in common by all, that the words in Scripture are not to be distorted to an unnatural sense, except in the sole case when the literal meaning is repugnant to faith or morals. Saint Bonaventure writes precisely to our purpose when he says, “We must hold that when the Apostle

says, God wills all men to be saved, it is necessary to grant that He does will it.” (End of the quote from St. Alphonsus Maria)

{180} Do you agree that it is a violation of the eighth commandment, distorting the truth, and fabricating lies and sins of calumny, defamation, and slander to teach that St. Alphonsus Maria was fabricating lies and making up stories when he wrote: “It is a certain rule, received in common by all, that the words in Scripture are not to be distorted to an unnatural sense, except in the sole case when the literal meaning is repugnant to faith or morals”?

{181} Do you agree Catholics should follow the teachings of St. Alphonsus Maria and believe: It is a certain rule, received in common by all, that the words in Scripture, *“The earth shall not be moved for ever and ever,”* are not to be distorted to an unnatural sense, because their literal meaning is not repugnant to faith or morals – because if they were repugnant to faith or morals the Catholic Church would not have them in Her Liturgy?

{182} Do you agree Catholics should follow the teachings of St. Alphonsus Maria and believe: It is a certain rule, received in common by all, that the words in Scripture, *“Thou shalt not bear false witness,”* are not to be distorted to an unnatural sense because their literal meaning is not repugnant to faith or morals – because those words are the literal words of Jesus Christ the Eternal Truth?

{183} Do you agree that heliocentrism bears false witness because it teaches many lies, falsehoods, and deceptions; and as a consequence, Jesus Christ, the Eternal Truth Himself condemns heliocentrism as directly contrary to the Eternal Truth?

You and others might reply here, “Wait a minute! How could Jesus Christ condemn heliocentrism when His supreme Vicar, Pope Benedict XV, taught that heliocentrism has never been condemned by the Catholic Church?”

Pope Pius VI wrote in the decree *Super soliditate*, November 28, 1786:

“The Church is certainly the one flock of Jesus Christ, Who is reigning in heaven, its one Supreme Pastor. He has left it a visible Pastor here on earth, a man who alone is his supreme Vicar, so that in hearing him, the sheep hear in his voice the voice of Jesus Christ Himself, lest seduced by the voice of strangers they be led astray into noxious and deadly pastures.”

††† I will here insert another section from your email. †††

But first, perhaps we should point out that in our previous email we were *not* asking for your opinion on *what* His Holiness, Pope Benedict XV stated in his explicit statement on geocentricism. What he said as Pope requires our religious assent, and we cannot question or doubt it. (That is why we will not read any of your writings which contradict or call into question any statement made by the *Magisterium*.)

Rather, we were asking for your opinion on two specific *conclusions* we drew from the precise and infallibly true words of His Holiness, which are “...**this earth on which we live may not be the center of the universe as at one time was thought...**”:

1. When the Holy Father states that *the earth may not be the center of the universe* it is clear that he excludes the possibility of any existing defined article of faith along the lines of the earth being the center of the universe.
2. It does *not* exclude the fact that that *the earth may, in fact, be the center of the universe*.

It was our hope that you would be brought to realize that these two specific conclusions are sound and according to right reason if one is actually faithful to the *Magisterium*, and if not, you would tell us why not. But you did not explain how these two conclusions are not reasonable. Instead, you explained at length that in your opinion there is a diabolical “heliocentric heresy” and that it has led to the errors of Vatican II.

Obviously, we were not successful in demonstrating the simple logic which shows how this expressed opinion of yours brings you into direct conflict with the *Magisterium*. The logic is as simple as this: When the Holy Father clearly states that something may turn out to be untrue, that means that it is *not* and *never was* an article

of faith. An article of faith is something that is infallibly true yesterday, today, and the same forever. It can *never* turn out later to be untrue.

When you insist that geocentrism *is* an article of faith and infallibly true, that would mean that you are in conflict with the Vicar of Jesus Christ. Your opinion would have us believe that the Supreme and infallible Teacher of the eternal truths is *questioning or doubting* one of the very truths he is authorized to teach. You would have us either utterly disregard what he said, or explain his words away in light of your own opinion.

How is this position not a contradiction of the solemn dogma of Papal Infallibility? The simple answer to that contradiction is that the Holy Father is correct and you are not. He clearly does not refer to geocentrism as an article of faith, and you contradict him by teaching that it is.

††† End of the quote from this section of your letter †††

What do the words *formally heretical* mean?

To understand the term, *heresy*, we open: *Dictionary of Dogmatic Theology* by Pietro Parente, Antonio Piolanti, and Salvatore Garofalo. Imprimatur, May 1, 1951, page 123:

HERESY. "A teaching which is directly contradictory to a truth revealed by God and proposed to the faithful as such by the Church." In this definition two essential characteristics of heresy are brought out: (a) opposition to a revealed truth; (b) opposition to the definition of the Church *magisterium*. If a truth is contained in the deposit of revelation, but has not been proposed to the faithful by the Church, it is called a *truth of divine faith*; if the revealed truth is also defined and proposed for belief by the ordinary or the extraordinary *magisterium* of the Church, it is called a truth of *divine-Catholic faith*. Heresy in the full sense of the word is opposed to a truth of divine-Catholic faith. If the denial concerns a revealed truth which is clear and commonly admitted as such, but has not been defined by the Church, the one who denies such a truth is called *proximus haeresi* (very close to heresy).

The *Dictionary of Dogmatic Theology* states: "If the revealed truth is also defined and proposed for belief by the ordinary or the extraordinary *magisterium* of the Church, it is called a truth of *divine-Catholic faith*."

Geocentrism was defined and proposed for belief by the Ordinary *Magisterium* of the Church when heliocentrism was declared and defined as **FORMALLY heretical**.

The Holy Office of the *Magisterium* did not state that heliocentrism was only *proximus haeresi* (very close to heresy). No, the Holy Office officially declared and defined that heliocentrism is **FORMALLY heretical**.

On February 24, 1616, the Holy Office of the Ordinary *Magisterium* issued these censures:

Regarding the first proposition:

"All agree that this proposition [*The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion.*] is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and **FORMALLY heretical** because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians."

Review again from the *Dictionary of Dogmatic Theology*: "In this definition two essential characteristics of heresy are brought out: (a) opposition to a revealed truth; (b) opposition to the definition of the Church *magisterium*."

{184} Do you agree that the Ordinary *Magisterium* would not declare heliocentrism formally heretical if it was not in: "(a) opposition to a revealed truth; (b) opposition to the definition of the Church *magisterium*"?

{185} Do you agree that when the Ordinary *Magisterium* taught heliocentrism was **formally heretical**, there can be no doubt that heliocentrism is: "A teaching which is directly contradictory to a truth revealed by God and proposed to the faithful as such by the Church."

As already quoted, you wrote the following:

For a Catholic, this statement by the Supreme Teaching Authority of the Church utterly annihilates the idea of having recourse to the Bible to prove things about "the essential nature of the universe." It is absolutely game over and the end of the road for your position. As Pope Pius XII stated in *Humani Generis* in 1950:

“But if the Supreme Pontiffs in their official documents purposely pass judgment on a matter up to that time under dispute, it is obvious that that matter, according to the mind and will of the same Pontiffs, cannot be any longer considered a question open to discussion among theologians.”

{186} Do you agree that the Supreme Pontiff purposely passed judgment on the matter up to that time under dispute with the doctrine: “All agree that this proposition [*The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion.*] is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and FORMALLY heretical because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians”?

Saint Francis De Sales stated, avowed, and affirmed a doctrine taught by the Catholic Church when he wrote: “To say the Church errs is to say no less that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy?”

{187} Do you agree that it would be a great blasphemy to say the Church erred when the Supreme Pontiff purposely passed judgment that heliocentrism is FORMALLY heretical?

Let me remind all of us again of the truth stated when you wrote: “An article of faith is something that is infallibly true yesterday, today, and the same forever. It can *never* turn out later to be untrue.”

{188} Do you agree that when the infallible Magisterium condemned heliocentrism as FORMALLY HERETICAL, it can *never* turn out later that heliocentrism will not always be formally heretical?

As your evidence that geocentrism has never been an article of Faith you present the important section of the encyclical *In Praeclara Summorum*: “If...**this earth on which we live may not be the center of the universe as at one time was thought**, it was the scene of the original happiness of our first ancestors, witness of their unhappy fall, as too of the Redemption of mankind through the Passion and Death of Jesus Christ.”

Consider a scenario, when someday in the future a pope is socially gathered with a group of friends, and during their recreational pastime, the subject comes up about what happened on September 11, 2001, when airplanes were alleged to have crashed into important buildings in the United States. The majority of those at the gathering have allowed themselves to be seduced by the propaganda media. They do not “ponder on reasonable things.” Rather, they believe whatever the television tells them happened without even considering the facts. The Pope reminds them how the Pentagon only had a *small* hole in it as if maybe a missile hit it. There was no hole that would allow the wings and higher tail part of the airplane to enter the building. There was not even one square foot of material from an airplane remaining on the outside of the building. So, how did the entire large aircraft squeeze through such a small hole, with no part of it left on the outside? The Pope goes on to remind his friends that a similar incident happened with the alleged airplanes crashing into the Twin Towers. No parts of the airplanes were knocked off, damaged, or left behind as those big flying cans of aluminum crashed through solid cement and steel. Have you ever seen an egg thrown at a block wall and the entire egg disappears through the cement? The Pope continues, mildly jesting with those present. Come on friends! People are going to rightly conclude that you have lost all of the screws and marbles out of your hatrack if you continue to believe the story of the media that the Twin Towers came down just because airplanes crashed into them.

Consider a continuation of the scenario that the same pope someday writes in an encyclical: “If Towers One and Two of the World Trade Center may not have come down because airplanes hit them as at one time was thought, it remains true that Building Seven was not hit by an airplane.” That statement neither proves nor disproves if Twin Towers One and Two of the World Trade Center came down to the ground because airplanes hit them, although the Pope thinks it ludicrous to believe the Twin Towers came down without a demolition project. It only affirms a well-known truth that Building Seven was not hit by an airplane! In this scenario, the Pope is not teaching either way if Towers One and Two came down because airplanes hit them. The intention, purpose, and goal of the Pope is to insist, confirm, and uphold the well-known truth that Building Seven was not

hit by an airplane – and in doing so the Pope is silently but very strongly teaching that Buildings One and Two were brought down through a demolition project, just as was Building Seven!

Similar to the scenario, Pope Benedict XV did not teach contrary to the known truth that the *Magisterium* had previously declared heliocentrism formally heretical. It was as if he was talking to a group of people who were convinced the Earth was a spinning ball hurling through space around the sun. It is in a sense as if the Pope was jesting with them to some degree and saying that they must have lost all of their screws and marbles out of their hatrack if they believed the *Magisterium* erred in condemning heliocentrism. The Pope's meaning is: "...**this earth on which we live may not be the center of the universe as a sphere as at one time was thought**, it might be flat with a sphere firmament over it as described in Scripture. However, it was the scene ..."

Because of your zeal (or because of your ignorance) to defend heliocentrism, you accuse Pope Benedict XV of teaching that what the Holy Office previously declared as formally heretical, now has the exact opposite theological meaning during his pontificate, and it is no longer formally heretical!

{189} Do you agree that what St. Paul wrote in Hebrews 13:8, "*Jesus Christ, yesterday, and today; and the same for ever*" has the same meaning for the teachings of the *Magisterium*?

{190} Do you agree that on February 24, 1616, the Holy Office of the *Magisterium* was the same infallible *Magisterium* as on April 30, 1921, when Pope Benedict XV promulgated the encyclical titled: *In Praeclara Summorum*?

Pilate answered: What I have written, I have written (John 19:22). The same words apply to every pronouncement of the Holy Office of the infallible *Magisterium*. The Holy Office has written: "All agree that this proposition [*The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion.*] is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and FORMALLY heretical because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians."

{191} Do you agree that neither a future Pope nor a Council of the Church can change what the *Magisterium* has previously defined?

Pope Saint Pius X condemned the following in the *Syllabus of Errors* by the Sacred Congregation of the Inquisition, July 3, 1907:

† "Even by dogmatic definitions the Church's magisterium cannot determine the genuine sense of the Sacred Scripture."

† "Opposition may, and actually does, exist between the facts narrated in Sacred Scripture and the Church's dogmas which rest on them. Thus the critic may reject as false facts the Church holds as most certain."

Thus, the *Syllabus of Errors* condemns heliocentrism. If you want to save your soul do not reject as false the facts the Church holds as most certain: "All agree that this proposition [*The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion.*] is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and FORMALLY heretical because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians."

"The said false doctrine that the sun is the centre of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves."

Pope Pius IX will now instruct us in *Quartus Supra*:

"But without exception, all doctrines which the Church proposes must be accepted, as the history of the Church at all times bears witness."

All doctrines which the Church proposes must be accepted, including: "All agree that this proposition [*The sun is at the center of the world and hence immobile in regards to local motion.*] is foolish and absurd in philosophy, and FORMALLY heretical because it explicitly contradicts sentences found in many places in Sacred Scripture according to the proper [literal] meaning of the words and according to the common interpretation and understanding of the Holy Fathers and of learned theologians."

“I sincerely hold that the doctrine of faith was handed down to us from the apostles through the orthodox Fathers **in exactly the same meaning** and **always in the same purport**. Therefore, I entirely reject the **heretical misrepresentation** that **dogmas evolve and change from one meaning to another different from the one which the Church held previously** ... The purpose of this is, then, **not that dogma may be tailored according to what seems better and more suited to the culture of each age**; rather, that the **absolute and immutable truth** preached by the apostles from the beginning **may never be believed to be different, may never be understood in any other way.**” (see *The Oath Against Modernism*, Pope St. Pius X)
The Vatican Council, Third Session, Chapter 4 On Faith and Reason:

Hence all faithful Christians are forbidden to defend as the legitimate conclusions of science those opinions which are known to be contrary to the doctrine of faith, particularly if they have been condemned by the church; and furthermore they are absolutely bound to hold them to be errors which wear the deceptive appearance of truth. ... Hence, too, that meaning of the sacred dogmas is ever to be maintained which has once been declared by holy mother church, and there must never be any abandonment of this sense under the pretext or in the name of a more profound understanding.

Review what you wrote:

When you insist that geocentrism *is* an article of faith and infallibly true, that would mean that you are in conflict with the Vicar of Jesus Christ. Your opinion would have us believe that the Supreme and infallible Teacher of the eternal truths is *questioning or doubting* one of the very truths he is authorized to teach. You would have us either utterly disregard what he said, or explain his words away in light of your own opinion.

Let us make *contraries more conspicuous* by comparing the contrary teachings: When you insist that geocentrism *is* **NOT** an article of faith and infallibly true, that would mean that you are in conflict with the *Magisterium*. Your opinion would have me believe that the Supreme and infallible Teacher of the eternal truths (Pope Benedict XV) is *questioning or doubting* one of the pronouncements made by the *Magisterium* that heliocentrism is **FORMALLY heretical**. You would have me either utterly disregard the pronouncements made by the *Magisterium* that heliocentrism is **FORMALLY heretical**, or explain the words of the infallible *Magisterium* away in light of your own opinion.

Sadly, you and millions of others reject the infallible pronouncements of the *Magisterium*!

As the last thing at the end of the article found here <https://JMJsit.com/johnpauliandjudas.pdf> we can all find the **Papal Coronation Oath** taken by Pope Benedict XV. Part of that oath reads: “I swear to God Almighty and the Savior Jesus Christ that I will keep whatever has been revealed through Christ and His Successors and whatever the first councils and my predecessors have defined and declared.” The predecessors of Pope Benedict XV have defined and declared heliocentrism is **formally heretical**. Your teaching would mean Pope Benedict XV broke his **Papal Coronation Oath**!

Saint Robert Bellarmine, Pope Leo XIII, and Pope Benedict XV taught Scripture is as true as the Eternal Truth. You slander and smear their name and make a mockery of the Catholic Church! You teach the popes and the Catholic Church could and did reverse dogmas to accommodate the condemned heresy of heliocentrism!

† Heliocentrism is adamant, obstinate, unyielding, and steadfast in bearing false witness, telling lies, and purposely deceiving others. Heliocentrism teaches a cornucopia abundance and profusion of contradictions, deceptions, dishonesties, deceptions, trickery, and impossibilities. Everyone who ponders on reasonable things will have 100% certitude that heliocentrism is nothing less than a hypothesis that is forever **bearing false witness** against the known truth and the commandments of God.

† First Vatican Council, Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith, Ch. 4, *Denzinger* 1800:

“Therefore, that sense of sacred dogmas is to be kept forever which Holy Mother Church has once declared, and it must never be deviated from on the specious pretext of a more profound understanding.

† The Holy Ghost, Jesus Christ, St. Paul, and Holy Mother Church have declared multiple times in various parts of Sacred Scripture that no one is permitted to promote, defend, nor teach heliocentrism which is a system

of telling lies, untruths, falsehoods, and bearing false witness. “*Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour*” (Exodus 20:16). “*Neither shalt thou bear false witness against thy neighbour*” (Deuteronomy 5:20). “*He said to him: Which? And Jesus said: Thou shalt do no murder, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness*” (Matthew 19:18). “*Thou knowest the commandments: Thou shalt not kill: Thou shalt not commit adultery: Thou shalt not steal: Thou shalt not bear false witness: Honour thy father and mother*” (Luke 18:20). “*For Thou shalt not commit adultery: Thou shalt not kill: Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness: Thou shalt not covet: and if there be any other commandment, it is comprised in this word, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself*” (Romans 13:9).

† There are two choices: FIRST = the true one explained by St. Robert Bellarmine; “*The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe.*” SECOND = the false one defended by you, Rev. William Roberts, and nearly the entire population for centuries, although it is an impossible hypothesis full of contradictions, lies, and directly contrary to Sacred Scripture Thou shalt not bear false witness: “*that the sun is the centre of the world, and immovable, and that the earth is not the centre, and moves.*”

† Without even reading all of their writings, it would be against reason not to accept the obvious truth that all of The Holy Fathers of the Church are unanimous in their agreement that the Holy Ghost, Jesus Christ, and St. Paul are absolutely correct in teaching that it is a violation of the commandments to bear false witness, tell lies, and purposely deceive others. Therefore, all The Holy Fathers are unanimous in teaching that “*The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe.*”

† Pope Leo XIII taught infallibly the Catholic doctrine that whenever all of the **Holy Fathers** are unanimous in their teaching concerning any text of the Bible that such interpretation has come down from the Apostles as a **matter of Catholic faith**.

† The First Lateran Council is clear in teaching infallibly that everyone must profess and believe as a **matter of Catholic faith** ALL that has been handed down and taught publicly to the Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church of God, by the same **Holy Fathers**.

† The Vatican Council in 1869 – 1870 taught infallibly the Catholic doctrine that: “The holy Synod of Trent salutarily decreed ... no one is permitted to interpret Sacred Scripture itself contrary to this sense, which Holy Mother Church has held and holds, or even contrary to the unanimous agreement of the Fathers.”

† “And since **truth never contradicts truth**, we declare every assertion contrary to the truth of illuminated faith to be altogether false; and, that it may not be permitted to dogmatize otherwise, we strictly forbid it, and we declare that all who adhere to errors of this kind are to be shunned and to be punished as detestable and abominable infidels who disseminate most damnable **heresies** and who weaken the Catholic faith.” [From: Lateran Council V 1512-1517 Pope Saint Leo X - from the Bull “*Apostolici Regiminis*” (Session VIII), Dec. 19, 1513] (DZ 738).

{192} Do you agree that all of The Holy Fathers of the Church are unanimous in their agreement that the Holy Ghost, Jesus Christ, and St. Paul are absolutely correct in teaching that it is a violation of the commandments to bear false witness, tell lies, and purposely deceive others?

{193} Do you agree the truth taught by Pope Leo XIII: “To understand how just is the rule here formulated we must remember, first, that the sacred writers, or to speak more accurately, **the Holy Ghost “Who spoke by them, did not intend to teach men these things (that is to say, the essential nature of the things of the visible universe), things in no way profitable unto salvation”** has zero effect on the other truth that all of The Holy Fathers of the Church are unanimous in their agreement that the Holy Ghost, Jesus Christ, and St. Paul are absolutely correct in teaching that it is a violation of the commandments to bear false witness, tell lies, and purposely deceive others?

{194} Do you agree that St. Robert Bellarmine stated a truth that is completely in agreement with the teachings of the Catholic Church when he reminded us that all The Holy Fathers are unanimous in teaching “*The sun is in the heavens and moves swiftly around the earth, and that the earth is far from the heavens and stands immobile in the center of the universe.*”

{195} Why are you so adamant, obstinate, unyielding, and steadfast in teaching that at least some of the Holy Fathers of the Church persisted in teaching that the Holy Ghost, Jesus Christ, and St. Paul were liars and that it is all right to tell lies and bear false witness?

Let us review what we considered, pondered upon, and studied previously.

{196} Do you agree that the Earth cannot be tilted on its axis at 66.6° as taught by heliocentrism, because then it would be noon when it should be midnight and vice versa?

{197} Do you agree that because the Earth cannot be tilted on its axis at 66.6° , the Sun cannot be larger in diameter than the Earth without having the Arctic Circle and Antarctic Circle lit up at all times every day?

{198} Do you agree that because the Earth cannot be tilted on its axis at 66.6° , the Sun must be much smaller than the distance from the Tropic of Cancer to the Tropic of Capricorn, because neither the Sun nor the Moon go above the Tropic of Cancer or below the Tropic of Capricorn?

{199} Do you agree that the laws of triangles force us to believe as a known truth that the Sun cannot be further from the Earth than it is from the Tropic of Cancer to the Tropic of Capricorn?

I will use the heliocentrism calculation that it is 3,260 miles from the Tropic of Cancer to the Tropic of Capricorn. The Sun travels that distance in approximately 182 days. 3,260 miles divided by 182 days equals approximately 18 miles that the Sun drops each day as it travels from the Tropic of Cancer down to the Tropic of Capricorn.

{200} Do you agree that if the Sun was 3,260 miles in diameter (1) it would not be seen to move or change angles because it would always extend from the Tropic of Capricorn to the Tropic of Cancer, (2) it would never cross the equator, (3) the Earth would never experience the Four Seasons, and (4) the liturgy would not have a changeable day for the feast of the Resurrection, which is determined by the movement of the Sun and Moon?

During a solar eclipse, the Sun is seen to be very close to the same size as whatever moves in front of it. The totality part of the eclipse shadow cast upon the Earth was approximately 70 miles wide during the solar eclipse on August 21, 2017. If you move a tennis ball in front of a lightbulb with both of them exactly the same size in diameter, the diameter of the shadow from the tennis ball would be equal to the diameter of the tennis ball. From this, I think that the diameter of the Sun and Moon must be approximately 70 miles wide. Consider the Sun 70 miles wide and located about 3,260 feet above Ecuador on the day of the equinox. The Moon is located at Singapore, 180° on the other side of the ball which heliocentrism teaches is about 8,000 miles in diameter.

St. Thomas teaches in the *Summa*: “In human affairs whatever is against reason is a sin.”

{201} Do you agree that because light travels in a straight line, it is against reason to believe the Sun at 70 miles wide can shine around the ball-Earth 8,000 miles wide and completely light up the 70-mile-wide Moon as a Full Moon?

The infallible Magisterium teaches “nothing but the truth should be taught” and “By truth we faithfully represent things as they are, were or will be”.

Sacred Scripture clearly teaches the truth that the Moon is one of the two great lights. The moon IS a light, not a reflector of sunlight! Consequently, the Moon is not brightly lit up for a Full Moon because of the Sun shining on it. The Sun does not shine on the Moon at all during the Full Moon season at any month of the year if we live on a ball/globe, because light travels in a straight line and a 70-mile-wide sun cannot shine around an 8,000-mile-wide ball, and light up the 70-mile-wide moon. If we live on a ball we can repeat the famous saying, “Houston, we have a problem!” If the Moon is only a reflector of sunlight, then the Moon would never reflect any sunlight during the Full Moon season, which is the very time it is shown to be the brightest!

Likewise, every month of the year we would have a total lunar eclipse over the moon that was not reflecting light that lasted for weeks at a time! Every month as we went from First Quarter toward the Full Moon, the 70-mile-wide Sun would be on the opposite side from the Moon of the 8,000-mile-wide ball. The Sun and Moon would both be going around the big ball clockwise, and the Moon would only drop behind approximately 12° every 24 hours. This would result in a total lunar eclipse every month lasting for weeks until the Moon was again in constant view of the Sun close to the Third Quarter Moon phase.

In conclusion, I do not believe that the theory, concept, notion, speculation, hypothesis, and belief taught by heliocentrism is correct concerning their explanation of a lunar eclipse or a solar eclipse. It seems very

reasonable that there is another heavenly body, that does not give off light or reflect light, that moves in front of the Moon during a lunar eclipse and in front of the Sun during a solar eclipse. The Moon does not receive light from the Sun during the Full Moon season, and the Sun does not receive light from the Moon during the Full Moon season although the Moon is itself a light. However, the Moon receives light from the Sun during the New Moon season, and the Sun also receives light from the Moon during the New Moon season because the Moon is itself a light.

{202} Do you agree that if you had a 25-watt light bulb lit up in your left hand, and a 150-watt light bulb lit up in your right hand, they would shine light on each other if nothing was between them?

People have said, “What about time zones? Time zones prove we live on a ball.” The opposite is true. The time zone system in use at this time is not logically explainable if we live on a ball. There is a **three-hour difference** in time traveling 4,300 miles from the Pacific Ocean in Seattle Washington to the Atlantic Ocean in Maine. If someone is on a 43-foot-long boat sailing across the Bering Strait from Russia to Alaska, when they cross the International Dateline that part of the boat closest to Russia is **three hours different** in time than the other part of the boat closest to Alaska! It takes **three hours to sail 1 inch** while crossing the International Dateline! Something is extremely wrong! What are they trying to cover up and why?

In the very northern part of the world, **they use only 19 time zones**. As the time zone system moves toward the so-called south pole of the ball, more and more time zones are added until **they use at least 32 time zones** down closer to New Zealand, South America, and South Africa! Talk about lying, manipulation, and deception!

The Google Guys YouTube video network has removed hundreds of thousands of videos that were uploaded to various YouTube channels. They obviously, do not want us to be **ever pondering on reasonable things** and thinking about such things as explained by Mr. Riboni about the time zones:

<https://www.jmjsite.com/g/tzMP.mp4>.

Page 4 of this letter shows the picture of the NASA Total Eclipse propaganda cartoon.

{203} Do you agree that the NASA Total Eclipse propaganda cartoon clearly conveys, expresses, and communicates beyond every doubt to reasonable people that the solar system explained by NASA contains many lies contrary to the *known truth*?

{204} Do you agree that this picture alone, (and especially if we include the millions of their other images and videos), proves to all that their propaganda is deceitful, misleading, and an outright lie?

{205} Do you agree that the NASA Total Eclipse propaganda cartoon should make everyone who seeks the truth see through their propaganda?

48 to 50+ years after they say a man walked on the Moon, the best they can do is show a cartoon with the Moon and the Earth flying in opposite directions at laughable speeds but remaining the same distance apart!

{206} Do you agree that the NASA Total Eclipse propaganda cartoon unquestionably, absolutely, and positively demonstrates, confirms, and proves the truth that NASA has never sent any man to the Moon?

We are bound by the infallible teaching Magisterium to believe that: “by supernatural power, He so moved and impelled them to write – He was so present to them – that the things which He ordered, and those only, they, first, **rightly** understood, then willed faithfully to write down, and finally expressed in apt words and with **infallible truth**.”

We are bound to believe on Divine and Catholic Faith the words of the Holy Ghost, “*And God made two great lights: a greater light to rule the day; and a lesser light to rule the night: and the stars*” and “*The moon and the stars to rule the night: for his mercy endureth for ever*” are infallibly true.

{207} Do you agree that because God Himself said the Moon is a light, then it is obvious the Moon is not the dusty, dark, hard, and solid surface shown in the NASA propaganda videos and pictures?

NASA propaganda shows them taking pictures of the ball Blue Marble rising over the surface of the Moon while they were walking up there. “Houston we have a problem,” namely that we were never on the Moon to take a picture of this fake ball Blue Marble that does not exist except in Computer Generated Images!

{208} Do you agree that NASA's deceitful, misleading, propaganda images and videos provide the true and conclusive evidence that their ball Blue Marble and everything associated with their ball Blue Marble, is false, deceitful, misleading, and an absolute lie?

{209} Do you agree the *known truth*, right reason, and Catholic doctrine would have everyone believe that *levels* work because of the exclusive reason that water and similar liquids always seek to be *level* and *flat*?

{210} Do you agree it is against the *known truth*, right reason, and Catholic doctrine to believe the propaganda about gravity that the water in the oceans while being level and flat is also curved, rounded, and circular?

{211} Do you agree heliocentrism cannot exist without gravity, but the known truth stated in Sacred Scripture of how God created the Earth does not need the heliocentrism notion of gravity?


“Right reasoning demonstrates the basis of faith.” “In human affairs whatever is against reason is a *sin*.” “He who resists the truth through malice and turns away from it *sins most grievously* against the Holy Ghost.” Resisting the *known truth* is a **SIN** against the Holy Ghost!

{212} **Sin** and *salvation* depend on believing or resisting the *known truth*, so which one is the known truth and not against reason for “God cannot contradict Himself and truth never contradicts truth”: (A) *Levels* work because of the exclusive reason that water and similar liquids always seek to be *level* and *flat* and therefore, the oceans are not curved, rounded, or circular but more or less level and flat, or (B) Millions of critical readings are taken with levels where things are needed to be *level* and *flat*, but none of the readings are trustworthy truthful, or accurate since we know water and similar liquids *never* seek to be *level* and *flat* which is proved because gravity makes the oceans and the entire Earth curved into a round and circular ball?

There are many things the Holy Ghost did **NOT** have His inspired writers include in the Bible about **the essential nature of the things of the visible universe, things in no way profitable unto salvation.**

You reminded us in the voicemail: “*The Holy Ghost did NOT put that information into Sacred Scripture.* Pope Leo XIII quotes St. Augustine when he says *the Holy Ghost did not put information about the formation of the natural world of the universe into Sacred Scripture.* That is the true interpretation of Sacred Scripture.”

“The true interpretation of Sacred Scripture,” tells us that we do not know and do not need to concern ourselves nearly as much with what the Holy Ghost **DID NOT** put into Sacred Scripture as we do with what the Holy Ghost **DID** put into Sacred Scripture. “*All scripture, inspired of God, is profitable to teach, to reprove, to correct, to instruct in justice*” because the infallible Magisterium assures us that each word is infallibly true, 100% accurate, and we are bound to believe it on Divine and Catholic Faith. St. John Chrysostom, and others, remind us that Sacred Scripture describes the Earth much like the picture below explains it. I believe St. John Chrysostom's understanding of the Bible rather than of the lying magicians from the Masonic Lodge with their strange pictures of the ball Blue Marble without firmament and with no stars or anything in the background!



In your correspondence, you stated that in no place in *Denzinger* does the Catholic Church teach that we must believe in geocentrism. In this letter, I have made various references to only a few of many places in *Denzinger* which I believe provide proof from the Catholic Church that the only correct choice is to believe the earth is the center of the universe. Your voicemail message confirms that for you “It does not matter.” “*And Jesus answering, said to them:*” [And to you] “*You err, not knowing the Scriptures, nor the power of God*” (Matthew 22:29). Jesus said: “*Search the scriptures*” (John 5:39). Jesus and the Holy Ghost do not agree with you and

the vast majority of mankind who hold your position that “It does not matter.” “*All scripture, inspired of God, is profitable to teach, to reprove, to correct, to instruct in justice*” (2 Timothy 3:6). We can consider God giving His message to each one of us in these words of Pilate: “*What I have written, I have written*” (John 19:22). The words of God, honesty, right reason, common sense, and truthfulness provide 100% proof that heliocentrism is a system of multiple lies, dishonesties, deceits, falsehoods, fabrications, and misrepresentations. The devil dances joyfully while delivering his message to you and the world. “Bravo! Bravo! Well done! Congratulations! Keep it up! Go on! Go on! This is wonderful music to my ears! Keep promoting, defending, and teaching heliocentrism! You give me great joy when you imitate me with your cornucopia abundance of lies in teaching heliocentrism!” Remember what we learned in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*: “*In the Sacred Scriptures the devil is called the father of lies; for as, he stood not in the truth, he is a liar and the father thereof.*” What are those who keep promoting, defending, and teaching heliocentrism if not children of the devil, who *is a liar and the father thereof*? Consider the millions and millions of lies told and sins committed daily and weekly by those who promote heliocentrism in their homes, in schools, in churches, in newspapers, on television, in the media, by politicians, and by people who work for organizations such as NASA! You, and the vast majority of mankind, couldn’t care less how many sins are committed, and reply that “It does not matter.”

The devil is so happy that so many sins are committed against the eighth commandment. The devil’s joy continues as he observes the numerous sins committed against the seventh commandment – especially concerning heliocentrism. Reflect on the billions or trillions of dollars of taxpayer’s money spent on the space program and the other deceitfulness and propaganda of NASA. Consider the billions of dollars stolen from the taxpayers to promote the lies of heliocentrism throughout the school systems! You, and the vast majority of mankind, couldn’t care less how many sins are committed, and reply that “It does not matter.”

Most people in the world echo your words that the Catholic Church never infallibly condemned heliocentrism – and therefore it would follow that the Catholic Church gives Her approval to tell multiple lies and steal gigantic large amounts of money to promote heliocentrism. The next step is that if the eighth and seventh commandments can be violated, so can the sixth. Consequently, a very high percentage of people in the world live like the horse and the mule who have no understanding, although the Holy Ghost commanded: “*Do not become like the horse and the mule, who have no understanding*” (Psalm 31:9). Multitudes of people violate the sixth commandment and shack together and live together and mate together like the horse and the mule although they have never been married or received the holy sacrament of matrimony. They live in habitual sin committing multitudes of mortal sins in thoughts, words, deeds, and actions. With each new thought, word, or deed contrary to the sixth commandment “*they cried again, saying: Crucify him, crucify him*” (Luke 23:21)!

When men and women mate together similar to the horse and the mule who have no understanding, babies are conceived. The man and the woman only wanted to satisfy their lustful desires, but not to conceive babies. Therefore, the fifth commandment is violated and millions of babies are murdered every year, and you with the multitude only echo: “It does not matter” when people refuse to keep God’s commandments and crucify Jesus Christ! At your best, you act as the hypocrite and say, “It does not matter” if people tell lies to defend heliocentrism, but it would be better if they did not have unlawful sex and murder babies.

This hyperlink <https://www.jmjsite.com/v/We-belong-to-the-same-church.mp4> will take you to a short video extracted from a sermon given by Bishop Pivarunas. He said the following: “You know, it is not, the Thuc Bishops or the Lefebvre Bishops or the Thuc Priests or the Lefebvre Priests or this Group or that Group - we are Roman Catholic! It is not this Society or that Society or this Community – we are Roman Catholic, and as such we work together. We belong to the same church!”

“It does not matter” if they are atheists, Protestants, Mormons, Hindus, Recusant, Pray at home, Stay at home, Novus Ordo, SSPX, CMRI, SSPV, FSSP, SSPX-MC, or any other part of the Traditionalist Movement, Sedevacantist or non Sedevacantist. They all belong to the same church of heliocentrism! They all teach, preach, and defend the hypothesis that we live on a ball; and for the majority of them, it is a spinning ball flying around the sun! It matters not to them if it is a violation of the commandments and the rejection of the known truth. The acceptance of the one-world religion of heliocentrism is their common denominator. “It does not

matter” that heliocentrism is a system of multiple lies, dishonesties, deceits, falsehoods, fabrications, and misrepresentations.

Religious indifferentism. We all belong to the same church. Heliocentrism unites all religions with a common belief: “*To steal, to murder, to commit adultery, to swear falsely, to offer to Baalim, and to go after strange gods, which you know not*” (Jeremias 7:9). “*And I heard another voice from heaven, saying: **Go out from her**, my people; that you be not partakers of her sins, and that you receive not of her plagues*” (Apocalypse 18:4). “I command thee, in the name of Jesus Christ, to **go out from her**” (Acts of the Apostles 16:18).

As was the case with Pope Paul V, Pope Urban VIII took the Galileo affair very seriously. He understood that nothing less than the veracity, truthfulness, and accuracy of Scripture was at stake. The Pope referred to Galileo’s book teaching heliocentrism as nothing less than “the worst harm to religion ever conceived.”

Your voicemail message confirms that for you, “***geocentrism is the diabolical plot against the Church!***” If you are correct, then every baptized Catholic is bound by their baptismal vows to renounce geocentrism. St. Louis Marie De Montfort explains in his book that it all comes down to living our baptismal vows. “This devotion may rightly be called a perfect renewal of the vows or promises of holy Baptism.” If we do not live our baptismal vows, neither do we live Holy Slavery. The book, *Collectio ex Rituali Romano*, provides the liturgy for the ceremonies of baptism. Page 13 provides the English translation for the three questions: **N.** Dost thou renounce Satan? **R.** I renounce him. **P.** And all his works? **R.** I renounce them. **P.** And all his pomps? **R.** I renounce them.

Who is there in the world today who renounces Satan, and all his works, and all his pomps?

{213} Do you agree that it is not those who promote, defend, and uphold heliocentrism with its continual lies, violation of the commandments, and rejection of Jesus Christ who said, “*Thou shalt not bear false witness*”?

{214} Do you agree that it is not geocentrism, but ***heliocentrism is the diabolical plot against the Church?***

At least four Catholics I know have said that St. Thomas Aquinas teaches the earth is a sphere. That is not what St. Thomas wrote. In addition to sending me your answers to the 214 questions in this letter, please also love thy neighbor as thyself and answer the 30 questions in the letter found with this hyperlink: <https://jmjsite.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/sr12.pdf>. Answer the 24 questions in <https://jmjsite.com/ec.pdf>. If you do not think the Catholic Church was instituted by Jesus Christ with the power to teach infallibly that heliocentrism is formally heretical, then read why the Church is infallible: <https://jmjsite.com/i.pdf>. Please send me your answers to all of the questions.

“The spirit of the precept not only prohibits false testimony, but also commands the truth to be told. In human affairs, to bear testimony to the truth is a matter of the highest importance, because there are innumerable things of which we must be ignorant unless we arrive at a knowledge of them on the faith of witnesses. In matters with which we are not personally acquainted and which we need to know, there is nothing so important as true evidence. Hence the words of St. Augustine: He who conceals the truth and he who utters falsehood are both guilty; the one, because he is unwilling to render a service; the other, because he has the will to do an injury.”

How long has it been since you seriously considered the very strange phenomenon, marvel, and fact that has happened in the history of mankind? More than 100 years ago a priest named Rev. William Roberts rejected some dogmas of the Catholic Church. Rev. Roberts *believed in the truth of the dogmas of the Catholic Church concerning geocentrism*. Nevertheless, he also taught that the many lies, falsehoods, and deceptions of heliocentrism were the truth! His belief in heliocentrism was a reason for his *belief that the Catholic Church infallibly taught that the earth is the center of the universe*. You, and billions of others, reject the belief of Rev. Roberts that the Catholic Church infallibly taught that the Earth is the center of the universe. Consequently, you and Rev. Roberts end up with the same religious beliefs concerning heliocentrism – which was one of the things

he believed that put him outside of the Catholic Church! A strange phenomenon = Roberts rejected what the Catholic Church taught, you reject what Roberts taught, and so you end up in the same heliocentric religion!

On October 31, 1992, “Pope” John Paul II formally proclaimed:

“The theologians of the time, in maintaining the centrality of the Earth, ERRED by thinking that the literal sense of Sacred Scripture explained the physical world.”

From your writings, it becomes evident that you hold the same belief as the man you correctly believe and teach to be a heretic who contradicts many teachings of the Catholic Church. “Pope” John Paul II did not call them out by name, but you do correctly provide the name of the major theologian leading up to the trial and condemnation of Galileo and his heliocentric beliefs. In your correspondence, you do not use the exact same words as “Pope” John Paul II. Nevertheless, your beliefs are the same and people can sum up your beliefs and teachings as follows:

“The theologians, Cardinal Saint Robert Bellarmine, Confessor and Doctor of the Church, Pope Paul V, and Pope Urban VIII, during the lifetime of Galileo, in maintaining the centrality of the Earth, ERRED by thinking that the literal sense of Sacred Scripture explained the physical world.”

I was told that “A man is known by the company he keeps.” I find it to be a very strange phenomenon, marvel, and fact that you join with those outside the Catholic Church in believing, promoting, and teaching heliocentrism, while at the same time teaching with them that the Catholic Church erred! I pray that you do not also teach that Saint Francis De Sales erred when he stated, avowed, and affirmed a doctrine taught by the Catholic Church when he wrote: “To say the Church errs is to say no less that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy?” The two Doctors of the Church, St. Robert Bellarmine and St. Francis De Sales converted thousands from their errors back to the Catholic Church. I pray that they will obtain for all of us the grace to seek the truth, to find the truth, to understand the truth, to know the truth, to accept the truth, to live our lives according to the truth, to love the truth, and to never again reject or impugn any known truth. May all of us be in, remain in, live in, and die in the True Church in the state of sanctifying grace, having obtained that degree of holiness it is God’s holy will we obtain. *“Today if you shall hear his voice, harden not your hearts”* (Psalms 94:8). *“For if we sin wilfully after having the knowledge of the truth, there is now left no sacrifice for sins, but a certain dreadful expectation of judgment, and the rage of a fire which shall consume the adversaries”* (Hebrews 10: 26, 27).

In closing, it is either geocentrism or heliocentrism. It DOES matter which side we promote, defend, and explain rationally to ourselves and others. It is impossible to promote, defend, or explain rationally heliocentrism without breaking the commandments. I remind you of some of the most important words Jesus Christ ever spoke: *“If thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments”* (Matthew 19:17). *“If you love Me, keep My commandments”* (John 14:15). Please pray to love and understand the *known truth* and accept that no Pope, or telescope, or YouTube video, or scientist, or atheist, or Protestant, or fallen away Catholic, or Jew, or the lying magicians from the Masonic Lodge, or any book written for the Mormon religion, or any power in heaven, on earth, or under the earth can change the *known truth* that the Holy Office of the infallible Ordinary Magisterium defined and declared once and for all and forever that heliocentrism is a formally heretical false doctrine repugnant to Holy Scripture.

In Jesus, Mary, and Saint Joseph,

Patrick Henry

www.JMJsites.com

JMJ@JMJsites.com